

# BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

| In the Matter of   | )  |
|--|--|
| Joint Petition for Arbitration of  | )  |
| NewSouth Communications Corp., NuVox Communications, Inc., KMC Telecom V, Inc., KMC Telecom III LLC, and Xspedius Communications, LLC on Behalf of its Operating Subsidiary Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC | ) Docket No. P-772, Sub 8 ) Docket No. P-913, Sub 5 ) Docket No. P-989, Sub 3 ) Docket No. P-824, Sub 6 ) Docket No. P-1202, Sub 4 ) |
| Of an Interconnection Agreement with<br>BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.<br>Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the<br>Communications Act of 1934, as Amended  | )<br>)<br>)<br>)   |

# JOINT PETITIONERS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

NewSouth Communications Corp. ("NewSouth"), NuVox Communications, Inc. ("NuVox"), KMC Telecom V, Inc. and KMC Telecom III, LLC (collectively "KMC"), and Xspedius Communications, LLC ("Xspedius"), (collectively the "Joint Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, hereby submit the following supplemental responses to the First Set of Interrogatories propounded by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth").

# **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney work product privilege, attorney-client communication privilege, or other applicable privilege.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, or contains undefined terms susceptible to multiple meanings.

# BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

| In the Matter of                              | ) |                          |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| Joint Petition for Arbitration of             | ) |                          |
|   | ) |                          |
| NewSouth Communications Corp.,                | ) | Docket No. P-772, Sub 8  |
| NuVox Communications, Inc.,                   | ) | Docket No. P-913, Sub 5  |
| KMC Telecom V, Inc., KMC Telecom III LLC, and | ) | Docket No. P-989, Sub 3  |
| Xspedius Communications, LLC on Behalf of its | ) | Docket No. P-824, Sub 6  |
| Operating Subsidiary Xspedius Management Co.  | ) | Docket No. P-1202, Sub 4 |
| Switched Services, LLC                        | ) |                          |
|   | ) |                          |
| Of an Interconnection Agreement with          | ) |                          |
| BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.            | ) |                          |
| Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the             | ) |                          |
| Communications Act of 1934, as Amended        | ) |                          |
|   |   |                          |

# JOINT PETITIONERS' SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES

NewSouth Communications Corp. ("NewSouth"), NuVox Communications, Inc. ("NuVox"), KMC Telecom V, Inc. and KMC Telecom III, LLC (collectively "KMC"), and Xspedius Communications, LLC ("Xspedius"), (collectively the "Joint Petitioners"), by and through their attorneys, hereby submit the following supplemental responses to the First Set of Interrogatories propounded by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth")

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is protected from disclosure by the attorney work product privilege, attorney-client communication privilege, or other applicable privilege.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, or contains undefined terms susceptible to multiple meanings.

- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is a matter of public record, for example, documents that have been filed with a government agency
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is in the possession, custody, or control of BellSouth.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks production of information that is not in the possession, custody, or control of the Joint Petitioners.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory on the ground that they seek information for an indeterminate period of time and is thus overly broad and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged information that is responsive to the issue to which the Interrogatory responds.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it imposes a burden of discovery not required in the Rules of Civil Procedure.
- Joint Petitioners object to each and every Interrogatory to the extent that it is unduly burdensome, expensive, or oppressive to respond to as presently written, particularly where an Interrogatory seeks information regarding "all" instances or examples.
- Joint Petitioners' subsequent responses to BellSouth's Interrogatories shall not be deemed an admission as to the relevance or materiality of any of the information sought therein. As discovery is ongoing in this matter, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to supplement and update these responses.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 1
Page 1 of 1

Identify all persons by name, address, and employer participating in the preparation of the answers to these Interrogatories or supplying information used in connection therewith.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The information requested herein has been previously provided to BellSouth. The name, address, and employer of parties participating in the subject testimony can be found within the written testimony. In addition, Joint Petitioners state that counsel assisted with the written testimony. The names, addresses, and employers for counsel are contained in the record. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 2
Page 1 of 1

2. For each issue that you are identified as sponsoring in the Testimony, please identify all portions of the testimony by line and page number that you drafted or someone else drafted pursuant to your supervision. If someone else drafted your testimony, please identify that person.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is unnecessary and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object on the ground that this Interrogatory seeks information that is protected by the attorney work product doctrine, attorney-client privilege, or other applicable privilege. This matter involves testimony regarding over forty issues, and Joint Petitioners have provided BellSouth with a chart identifying the sponsors of all portions of the subject testimony. As Joint Petitioners are represented by counsel, Joint Petitioners' testimony was created with the assistance and under the guidance of counsel. Each witness actively participated in the drafting, review and editing of every portion of testimony that they sponsored and, as indicated, they have adopted it as their own. Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 3
Page 1 of 1

3. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 19 of the Testimony that "BellSouth's proposed language is designed to provide it with the opportunity to, in effect, hold newly adopted rate amendments hostage, and allow BellSouth to delay the implementation of an approved rate to the extent that the Commission's decision is unfavorable to it."

5

NuVox, NewSouth KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue G-1 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 4
Page 1 of 1

4. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 21 of the Testimony that "this is a restrictive definition designed to serve some undefined and hereto fore undisclosed BellSouth motive."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The basis of this statement comes from the impression Joint Petitioners derived during negotiations with BellSouth. As demonstrated in the testimony, Joint Petitioners believe that BellSouth will use its proposed definition to prevent Joint Petitioners from using UNEs to serve customers other than those that meet the restrictive definition of "end user" proposed by BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 5
Page 1 of 1

5. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 22 of the Testimony that "[f]or example, under BellSouth's proposed definition of 'End User,' it is arguable that certain types of CLP customers, such as Internet Service Providers ('ISPs'), might not be considered to be 'End Users.'"

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners understand that during the ongoing negotiation process BellSouth has accepted Joint Petitioners' statement. Nevertheless, Joint Petitioners note that ISPs are indeed considered end users. Joint Petitioners direct BellSouth's attention to General Communications Inc. v. Alaska Communications Systems Holdings, Inc., 16 FCC Rcd. 2834 (2001), aff'd in part and rev'd in part, ACS of Anchorage, Inc. v. FCC, 290 F.3d 403 (2002); GTE Service Corporation v. FCC, 224 F.3d 768 (D.C. Cir. 2000); and Access Charge Reform, First Report & Order, 12 FCC Rcd 15982, ¶ 348 (1997). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

7

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 6
Page 1 of 1

6. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 25 of the Testimony that "[c]ertain traffic passed to NewSouth by BellSouth over our Supergroups with a 0 CIC would likely result in unbillable and uncollectible revenues." In providing a response, please identify the traffic at issue and all instances when such traffic actually resulted in unbillable and uncollectible revenues, identifying the amounts of any unbillable and uncollectible revenues.

8

#### NuVox, NewSouth KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue G-3 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al. BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories December 7, 2004 Item No. 7 Page 1 of 1

Regarding Issue No G-4, please identify all telecommunications interconnection 7. agreements that contain a provision that is identical or similar to the provision you are requesting the Commission adopt in this proceeding.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Petitioners also object given the information requested is in the public domain and available to BellSouth through other means. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

NuVox identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox Production No. 6. reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

NewSouth identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 6. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

KMC identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 6. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Xspedius identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 6. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 8
Page 1 of 1

8. Regarding Issue No. G-4, please identify all contracts that you have with your customers, end users, vendors, or other third-parties that contain a provision that is identical or similar to the provision you are requesting the Commission adopt in this proceeding.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

NuVox identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 7. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

NewSouth identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 7. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

KMC identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 7. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Xspedius identifies those documents produced, if any, pursuant to Request for Production No. 7. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 9
Page 1 of 1

9. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 28 of the Testimony that "the standard liability-cap formulations - starting from a minimum (in some of the more conservative contexts such as government procurements, construction and similar matters) of 15% to 30% of the total revenues actually collected or otherwise provided for over the entire term of the relevant contract - more universally appearing in commercial contracts."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to the Interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The subject statement is based upon the well-known and widely accepted practices of commercial contracting. In support of this statement, Joint Petitioners identify the following legal authority: Clause of the Month: Provision for Limiting Service Provider's Liability, Computer Law Strategist, Vol. 14, No. 7; Pg. 2 (1997); Michael F. Pillow, International Commercial Sales and Service Contracts, 21 NO. 6 ACCA Docket 62 (2003); Marc T. Shivers & Andre J. Brunel, Contractual Limitations of Liability (a/k/a "LOLs" or Why the Other Party Is Laughing Out Loud), The Computer & Internet Lawyer, Vol. 19, No. 5, Pg. 6 (2002); Jeff Ruster, Mitigating Commercial Risks in Project Finance, Public Policy for the Private Sector (February 1996); Charles F. Carroll, Construction Contracts: Key Legal and Business Considerations from the Lender's Point of View, Practising Law Institute, PLI Order No. A4-4433 (1993); Robert S. Metzger et al., When More Produces Less: California's IT Terms and Conditions Produce Less Competition and Lower Value, Procurement Lawyer (2001); Rendi L. Mann-Stadt, Limitation of Liability for Interruption of Service for Regulated Telephone Companies: An Outmoded Protection?, 1993 U. Ill. L. Rev. 629 (1993). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 10
Page 1 of 1

10. Please provide the basis and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 28 of the Testimony that "[t]he Petitioners' proposed risk-vs.-revenue trade off has long been a staple of commercial transactions across all business sectors, including regulated industries such as electric power, natural resources and public procurements and is reasonable in telecommunications service contracts as well." In responding to this Interrogatory, please identify each and every contract and/or commercial transaction in "electric power, natural resources and public procurement" that support your testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The subject statement is based upon the well-known and widely accepted practices of commercial contracting. In support of this statement, Joint Petitioners identify the following legal authority: Clause of the Month: Provision for Limiting Service Provider's Liability, Computer Law Strategist, Vol. 14, No. 7; Pg. 2 (1997); Marc T. Shivers & Andre J. Brunel, Contractual Limitations of Liability (a/k/a "LOLs" or Why the Other Party Is Laughing Out Loud), The Computer & Internet Lawyer, Vol. 19, No. 5, Pg. 6 (2002); Christy Cornell Kunin, Comment: Unilateral Tariff Exculpation in the Era of Competitive Telecommunications, 41 Cath. U.L. Rev. 907 (1992); Robert S. Metzger et al., When More Produces Less: California's IT Terms and Conditions Produce Less Competition and Lower Value, Procurement Lawyer (2001). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 11
Page 1 of 1

11. Please identify the "long-established principles of general contract law and equitable doctrines," with appropriate legal citations that you are referring to on Page 28 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners direct BellSouth's attention to the written testimony. Within the written testimony, BellSouth will find what Joint Petitioners identify as the "long established principles of general contract law and equitable doctrines." testimony is quite clear. Joint Petitioners described the principles and doctrines as "the right to a refund or recovery of, and/or the discharge of any further obligations with respect to, amounts paid or payable for services not properly performed." In support of this statement, Joint Petitioners identify the following legal authority: McClure Engineering Associates, Inc. v. The Reuben Donnelley Corp., 428 N.E.2d 1151 (Ill. App. Ct. 1981); Discount Fabric House of Racine, Inc. v. Wisconsin Telephone Co., 345 N.W.2d 417 (Wis. 1984); Leslie S. Marell, Negotiate Limitation Of Liabilities Clause To Your Benefit, Purchasing Law Report (2001); Restatement 2<sup>nd</sup> of Contracts, § 373; Marc T. Shivers & Andre J. Brunel, Contractual Limitations of Liability (a/k/a "LOLs" or Why the Other Party Is Laughing Out Loud), The Computer & Internet Lawyer, Vol. 19, No. 5, Pg. 6 (2002). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 12
Page 1 of 1

12. Please identify all facts, legal authority and/or documents that support your statement on Page 29 of the Testimony that "[i]n my experience, it is a common-sense and universally-acknowledged principle of contract law that a party is not required to pay for non-performance or improper performance by the other party." In responding to this Interrogatory, please identify each and every "experience" you have had that supports your statement.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Please see response to Interrogatory No. 11. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

14

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 13
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all facts, legal authority and/or documents that support your statement on Page 29 of the Testimony that "a breach in the performance of services results in losses that are greater than their wholesale costs . . . ."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The statement referenced within this Interrogatory expresses the opinion of policy witnesses that a breach by BellSouth will, if it is of such a nature that it affects, delays, or degrades the service provided by a CLP to a customer, will impose harm on the CLP, in terms of lost revenue, goodwill, and/or brand value, that exceeds the amount paid to BellSouth to obtain the relevant wholesale inputs. Joint Petitioners direct BellSouth's attention to those documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 13. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

15

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 14
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all facts, legal authority and/or documents that support your statement on Page 29 of the Testimony that "these losses will ordinarily cost a carrier far more in terms of direct liabilities vis-a-vis those of their customers who are relying on properly-performed services under this Agreement, not to mention the broader economic losses to these carriers' customer relationships as a likely consequence of any such breach "

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

See Response to Interrogatory No. 13.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 15
Page 1 of 1

15. Regarding your statements on Page 29 of the Testimony, please identify any cost study, analysis, or other documents that analyze, review or establish that the "breach in the performance of services results in losses that are greater than their wholesale costs."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is duplicative of Interrogatory number 13. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory on the ground that that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

At this time, Joint Petitioners are unable to identify any cost study, analysis, or other documents in response to this interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 16
Page 1 of 1

16. Regarding your statements on Page 29 of the Testimony, please identify any cost study, analysis, or other documents that analyze, review or establish that "losses will ordinarily cost a carrier far more in terms of direct liabilities vis-a-vis those of their customers who are relying on properly-performed services under this Agreement, not to mention the broader economic losses to these carriers' customer relationships as a likely consequence of any such breach."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is duplicative of Interrogatory number 14. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory on the ground that that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

At this time, Joint Petitioners are unable to identify any cost study, analysis, or other documents in response to this interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al. BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories December 7, 2004 Item No. 17 Page 1 of 1

Please identify all end users or customers by name, working telephone number ("WTN") 17. and date of loss that you lost as a result of any alleged breach of performance by BellSouth.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

See documents produced pursuant to Request for Production No. 13. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

See documents produced pursuant to Request for Production No. 13. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

After a diligent review of its records, KMC is unable to identify any such end user or customer. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

See documents produced pursuant to Request for Production No. 13. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 18
Page 1 of 1

18. Regarding Issue No. G-5, please identify all of your tariffs and/or end user contracts that do not contain any limitation of liability language.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, , or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to this item on the ground that their tariffs are a matter of public record that are easily accessible by BellSouth. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

Please see documents produced pursuant to Request for Production No. 14. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

After a diligent search of its records, NewSouth is unable to identify any documents in its possssion, custody, or control that are responsive to this Interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

After a diligent search of its records, KMC is unable to identify any documents in its possssion, custody, or control that are responsive to this Interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

After a diligent search of its records, Xspedius is unable to identify any documents in its possssion, custody, or control that are responsive to this Interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 19
Page 1 of 1

19. Please identify all limitation of liability language that exists in your tariffs and/or end user contracts.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds of relevance. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that of the undue burden that would result from Joint Petitioners having to identify all limitation of liability language in all of Joint Petitioners tariffs and/or end user contracts. Joint Petitioners note that their tariffs are matters of public record and are easily accessible by BellSouth. Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, and thus unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners further object to the extent that it is duplicative. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Joint Petitioners state that they will produce documents, if any, responsive to the specific Requests herein to the extent required by applicable law.

#### **NuVox Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 20
Page 1 of 1

20. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citation, that supports your statement on Page 31 of the Testimony that "a Party is precluded from recovering damages to the extent it has failed to act with due care and commercial reasonableness in mitigation of losses and otherwise in its performance under the Agreement."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object that this item seeks discovery of information protected by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, or other applicable privilege. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

See GA ST § 13-6-5 (Ga. Code Ann. § 13-6-5). See also John Mallock & Co. v. Kicklighter, 73 S.E. 1073 (1912) and II Restatement of Contracts § 350. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 21
Page 1 of 1

21. Please identify all instances where you have asked a customer or end user rejected your request to agree to liability provisions that are similar to BellSouth's liability provisions, as stated on Page 32 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is unintelligible. Joint Petitioners are unable to discern what information BellSouth seeks.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 22
Page 1 of 1

22. As to your statements on Page 32 of the Testimony, please identify every instance where you have "conceded" limitation of liability language to "attract customers in markets dominated by incumbent providers," including the name of the customer, the WTN, and date of contract evidencing any concession.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to the item on the grounds that it mischaracterizes the initial testimony in this case, and as such does not warrant a response. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners are unable to identify with specificity any instance where they have had to concede limitation of liability language to attract customers in markets dominated by incumbent providers, although Joint Petitioners recollect being forced to concede limitation of liability language in the past. However, Joint Petitioners expect that they may have to concede limitation of liability language in the future. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 23
Page 1 of 1

23. Please identify all facts, legal authority and/or documents that support your statement on Page 39 of the Testimony that "[a]s is more universally the case in virtually all other commercial-services contexts, the service provider, not the receiving party, bears the more extensive burden on indemnities given the relative disparity among the risk levels posed by the performance of each." In responding to this Interrogatory, please identify the specific "commercial-services" that you are referring to

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony to which this item refers expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners had no specific commercial services in mind when making this statement. Joint Petitioners intended to note only that the service provider, in the telecommunications service industry as well as other service industries, usually carries a heavier burden with regard to indemnity, given the greater risk in the performance of its contractual obligations. "Commercial-services," as used by Joint Petitioners, refers to any contractual relationship whereby a party agrees to provide some service, whatever it may be, to another in exchange for consideration. BellSouth, as the service provider in this contractual arrangement, bears the greater risk in the performance of its obligations and, therefore, carries a heavier burden when it comes to indemnifying Joint Petitioners in the event those obligations are breached. In support of this contention, Joint Petitioners identify the following legal authority: Clause of the Month: Provision for Limiting Service Provider's Liability, Computer Law Strategist, Vol. 14, No. 7; Pg. 2 (1997); Michael F. Pillow, International Commercial Sales and Service Contracts, 21 NO. 6 ACCA Docket 62 (2003); Marc T. Shivers & Andre J. Brunel, Contractual Limitations of Liability (a/k/a "LOLs" or Why the Other Party Is Laughing Out Loud), The Computer & Internet Lawyer, Vol. 19, No. 5, Pg. 6 (2002); Gerald R. Singer, Shifting Risk of Accidental Loss in Business Contracts, ALI-ABA Course of Study Materials, Course Number SC40 (March 1998). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

25

Joint Petitioners North Carolina Utilities Commission Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories December 7, 2004 Item No. 24 Page 1 of 1

Please identify all indemnification language that exists in your tariffs and/or end user 24. contracts.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Request on the ground that it is duplicative of previous items in this set of discovery. Joint Petitioners also object to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, and thus too burdensome Joint Petitioners also object to the extent that this item seeks to respond. information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that all tariffs are publicly available and readily accessible by BellSouth. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Please see documents produced in response to Request for Production No. 16. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 25
Page 1 of 1

25. Please identify, with appropriate legal citation, the "generally-accepted contract norms providing precisely to the contrary," that you are referring to on Page 40 of your Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony to which this item refers expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Please see response to Interrogatory No. 23. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 26
Page 1 of 1

26. Regarding Issue G-9, please identify all non Section 252 arbitration proceedings, by date and case-caption, initiated by you against BellSouth at a state public service commission to resolve a dispute between you and BellSouth.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object that the information sought in this item are matters of public record to which BellSouth has ready access, or such information is already in the possession of BellSouth. On the basis of these objections, Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

BellSouth has withdrawn this interrogatory.

28

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 27
Page 1 of 2

27. Regarding your statement on Page 44 of the Testimony that "BellSouth often is able to force carriers into heavily discounted, non-litigated settlements," please provide the basis of the statement and identify all facts/and or documents that support the statement, including but not limited to the carriers at issue, the amount of discount, the litigation that was settled, and how you became aware of each settlement.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object given that BellSouth already possesses the information requested. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

# **NuVox Response:**

NuVox has entered into non-litigated settlements with BellSouth regarding issues related to Section 251 of the 1996 Act. The terms of all settlements with BellSouth are confidential. All documents regarding such settlements are in the possession, custody or control of BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

NewSouth has entered into non-litigated settlements with BellSouth regarding issues related to Section 251 of the 1996 Act. The terms of all settlements with BellSouth are confidential. All documents regarding such settlements are in the possession, custody or control of BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 27
Page 2 of 2

#### **KMC Response**:

KMC has entered into non-litigated settlements with BellSouth regarding issues related to Section 251 of the 1996 Act. The terms of all settlements with BellSouth are confidential. All documents regarding such settlements are in the possession, custody or control of BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Xspedius has entered into non-litigated settlements with BellSouth regarding issues related to Section 251 of the 1996 Act. The terms of all settlements with BellSouth are confidential. All documents regarding such settlements are in the possession, custody or control of BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 28
Page 1 of 2

28. Regarding your statement on Page 47 of the Testimony that "Petitioners have been confronted with BellSouth-initiated litigation in which BellSouth seeks to upend this principle of Georgia law," please provide the basis of the statement and identify all facts/and or documents that support the statement, including but not limited to identifying the "BellSouth-initiated litigation" by case-caption you are referring to and the principle of Georgia law (by legal citation) you are referring to.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to this Interrogatory to the extent that is seeks information that is public available and accessible by BellSouth. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

BellSouth has initiated litigation in Georgia against both NuVox and NewSouth. See Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth telecommunications, Inc. and NuVox Communications, Inc., Docket No. 12778-U, Georgia Public Service Commission; Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and NewSouth Communications Corp., Docket No. 18133-U, Georgia Public Service Commission. It is a fundamental tenet of Georgia law that "contracting parties are presumed to have incorporated the laws that existed when they entered into the contract, unless they explicitly excluded those obligations from the contract." NuVox Proceeding, Recommended Order on Complaint at 8 (Feb. 11, 2004); see also NuVox Proceeding, Staff Memorandum at 4 (April 23, 2004) ("Georgia law states that parties are presumed to enter into agreements with regard to existing law"). BellSouth nonetheless refuses to concede that this presumption results in the incorporation of FCC and Commission Orders into its Agreements with NuVox and NewSouth in the absence of express language creating exemptions therefrom. See generally BellSouth pleadings in Docket Nos. 12778-U and 18133-U, Georgia Public Service Commission. See also, BellSouth pleadings in BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. v. NewSouth Communications Corp., Docket No. P-772 Sub 7, North Carolina Utilities Commission; BellSouth Telecommunications Inc. v. NewSouth Communications, Corp., Docket No. 2004-0063-C, Public Service Commission of South Carolina; Complaint and Request for Summary Disposition BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. against NewSouth

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 28
Page 2 of 2

Communications Corp. To Enforce Contract Audit Provisions, Docket No: 040028-TP, Florida Public Service Commission.

Joint Petitioners further note that the full text of the testimony referenced in this item states that "Because several of the Petitioners have been confronted with BellSouth-initiated litigation in which BellSouth seeks to upend this principle of Georgia law, all Petitioners believe it is important that the Agreement be explicit on this point." Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

32

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 29
Page 1 of 1

29. Regarding your statement on Page 47 of the Testimony that "BellSouth's proposal attempts to turn universally accepted principles of contracting on their head," please provide the basis of the statement and identify all facts/and or documents that support the statement, including but not limited to an identification of the "principles of contracting" (by legal citation) you are referring to.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

During these negotiations, Bellsouth and the Joint Petitioners have agreed that Georgia law will govern all matters related to the subject interconnection agreement(s). Joint Petitioners' written testimony clearly sets forth that parties to a contract may agree to rights and obligations different from those provided by existing law, however, the parties need to do so explicitly. This legal theory is evident in Georgia law. Under Georgia law, contracts are presumed to incorporate existing law. Van Dyck v. Van Dyck, 263 Ga. 161, 163 (1993). Where parties intend to stipulate that their contract not be governed by existing law, then the other legal principles to govern the contract must be expressly stated therein. Jenkins v. Morgan, 100 Ga. App. 561, 562 ((1959). Recently, the Georgia Public Service Commission ("GPSC") relied on this principle in Enforcement of Interconnection Agreement Between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and NuVox Communications, Inc., Georgia Commission Docket No. 12778-U, Order Adopting in Part and Modifying in Part the Hearing Officer's Recommended Order (June 29, 2004). Joint Petitioners presume that BellSouth is fully aware of this proceeding's details and, therefore, Joint Petitioners will forego a thorough discussion of the case. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 30
Page 1 of 1

30. Regarding Issue G-13, please identify all instances by date, carrier, and interconnection agreement where BellSouth has included a rate in the rate sheet of an interconnection agreement that is not the rate approved by the Commission, as set forth on Page 48 of the Testimony.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue G-13 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 31
Page 1 of 1

31. Regarding your statement on Page 53 of the Testimony that "[n]early all of the CLPs involved in this arbitration have had one bad experience or another with BellSouth using one of its Guides as controlling authority for an issue between the Parties instead of the Agreement," please provide the basis of the statement and identify all facts/and or documents that support the statement, including but not limited to identifying each "bad experience," the CLP experiencing the "bad experience," the date of the "bad experience," and the reason for the "bad experience."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue G-15 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 32
Page 1 of 1

32. Please explain in detail your statement on Pages 55-56 of the Testimony that "[g]iven the proliferation of the Guide references, accepting BellSouth's language would severely undermine the integrity of the Agreement and, indeed, the entire Section 251/252 negotiation and arbitration process."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 33
Page 1 of 1

33. Regarding Issue G-16, please identify all instances where you have determined that BellSouth tariff changes are "inconsistent with the Agreement, or are unreasonable or discriminatory" as set forth on Page 56 of the Testimony, describing in detail the tariff change at issue, the date of the tariff change, and the reason why you believed that the tariff change was inconsistent, unreasonable, or discriminatory.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue G-16 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1

37

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 34
Page 1 of 1

34. Please identify the paragraphs of the Triennial Review Order ("TRO") that support the following statements on Page 60 of your testimony wherein you state: "It is my understanding that the FCC concluded, in the TRO, that carriers may convert from UNEs and UNE Combinations to wholesale services and vice versa. It is also my understanding that the FCC concluded such conversions should be seamless and not affect any end-user customer's service"

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-4(a) has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1

38

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 35
Page 1 of 1

35. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 62 of the Testimony that "converting a UNE or Combination (or part thereof) to Other Services or tariffed BellSouth access services should not require substantial development and related costs."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 36
Page 1 of 1

Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 67 of the Testimony that retermination of circuits is "likely to be nothing more than a cross-connect."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners respond to this item on the basis that the testimony quoted in this item regards Issue 2-5(C), and discusses the rate that should apply to converting a service arrangement from a UNE or Combination to another type of service. When such conversions are required, in the vast majority of cases they are likely to be performed electronically via a simple records change. If, however, any physical rearrangement of facilities is necessary, that rearrangement is not in most circumstances likely, in the opinion of Joint Petitioners, to require any more work than would be necessary to install a cross-connect. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 37
Page 1 of 1

37. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 67 of the Testimony that "[t]he CLPs are not disconnecting a service but rather are rearranging a service that cannot be maintained as currently offered under the Agreement."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners respond to this item on the basis that the testimony quoted in this item regards Issue 2-5(C), and discusses the rate that should apply to converting a service arrangement from a UNE or Combination to another type of service. When such conversions are required, in the vast majority of cases they are likely to be performed electronically via a simple records change. If, however, any physical rearrangement of facilities is necessary, that rearrangement is not likely, in the opinion of Joint Petitioners, to entail the disconnection of service to a customer as if the customer had cancelled entirely. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 38
Page 1 of 1

- 38. Please identify the "FCC rules" you are referring to in the following statements on Page 69 of the Testimony:
  - a. "The FCC's rules require that costs associated with Routine Network Modifications can and should be recovered by BellSouth as part of the expense associated with network investments, and therefore should already have been factored into BellSouth's TELRIC costs."

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-7 has been resolved.

b. "Indeed, the FCC's rules are very clear that there may not be any double recovery by BellSouth of Routine Network Modification costs by virtue of BellSouth recovering both the cost of the UNE and a new charge for Routine Network Modifications that already have been factored into the UNE rate."

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-7 has been resolved.

c. "The FCC's rules are also very clear that the onus is on BellSouth affirmatively to demonstrate that a requested modification was not contemplated by BellSouth as a 'Routine Network Modification', and that the costs associated with the requested modification were not factored into BellSouth's TELRIC cost studies in any way whatsoever."

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 39
Page 1 of 1

39. Please identify the FCC rules that you allege on Page 72 of the Testimony "do not allow BellSouth to impose commingling restrictions on stand-alone loops and EELs."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client communication privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶¶ 579, 581-584 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 40
Page 1 of 1

40. Please identify all legal authority that supports your statement on Page 72 of the Testimony that the "FCC has defined 'commingling' as the connecting, attaching, or otherwise linking of a UNE, or a UNE combination, to one or more facilities or services that a requesting carrier has obtained at wholesale from an incumbent LEC pursuant to any method other than unbundling under Section 251(c)(3) of the Act, or the combining of a UNE or UNE combination with one or more such wholesale services."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client communication privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020  $\P$  579 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 41
Page 1 of 1

41. Please identify all legal authority that supports your statement on Page 72-73 of the Testimony that the "FCC has also concluded that Section 271 places requirements on BellSouth to provide network elements, services, and other offerings, and those obligations operate completely separate and apart from Section 251."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client communication privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶¶ 653-655 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 42
Page 1 of 1

42. Please identify all legal authority that supports your statement on Page 73 of the Testimony that "[t]herefore, the FCC's rules unmistakably require BellSouth to allow Petitioners to commingle a UNE or a UNE combination with any facilities or services that they may obtain at wholesale from BellSouth, pursuant to Section 271."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client communication privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶ 579, 581-584 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 43
Page 1 of 1

43. Please identify the paragraphs of the TRO that support your statement on Page 74 of the Testimony that "[i]t is my understanding that the FCC held, in the TRO, that the definition of local loop includes multiplexing equipment"

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client communication privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶ 214 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). See also Triennial Review Order Appendix B. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 44
Page 1 of 1

44. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 76 of the Testimony that "[a] minimum billing period of 30 days, 2 months, etc. . . would carry with it exclusive use right thereby inhibiting a customer's ability to switch carriers as he or she wishes "

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-10 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 48

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 45
Page 1 of 1

45. Regarding Issue 2-12 and your testimony on Page 77, please identify the paragraphs of the TRO that you are referring to when you state "[s]uch a provision would be inconsistent with the FCC's Triennial TRO."

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-12 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 49

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 46
Page 1 of 1

46. Please identify the "issues" and the "reconsideration petition" by date and docket you are referring to on Page 78 of the Testimony, wherein you state: "BellSouth's proposed language is clearly over-expansive and proposes to pre-decide issues currently before the FCC in at least one reconsideration petition."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-12 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 I 50

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 47
Page 1 of 1

47. Please identify the specific rights to loop access and any legal support for these rights that you are referring to on Pages 78-79 of the Testimony, wherein you state: "Petitioners' proposed language in Section 2.1.1.2 merely seeks to retain whatever rights CLPs presently enjoy with respect to loop access . . . .

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 48
Page 1 of 1

48. Please identify all legal support for your statement that CLPs have the right to "obtain a portion of loop bandwidth so that voice-grade services may be provided by one carrier and other services, such as xDSL-based transport services may be provided by another," as set forth on Page 79 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 49
Page 1 of 1

49. Please identify all legal support for your statement that "loop unbundling is a separate checklist item under Section 271, and thus this Commission retains the authority to set rules and policy for its provisioning," as set forth on Page 80 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-13 has been resolved.

53

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 50
Page 1 of 1

50. Please identify all legal support for your statements that "FCC orders are presumed to become law, and affect substantive rights, on their effective dates. That legal truism does not have to be expressly stated in every FCC rule," as set forth on Page 82 of the Testimony.

ĺ

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 51
Page 1 of 1

51. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement that "all facilities and work involved in provisioning, maintaining and repairing UNEs, including loops, must be priced at TELRIC compliant rates," as set forth on Page 83 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 52
Page 1 of 1

52. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 85 of the Testimony that "dispatch charges significantly undercut Petitioners' ability to compete effectively."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 53
Page 1 of 1

53. Please identify all customers or end users by name, WTN, and date of loss that you were unable to obtain or lost or were unable to acquire because of dispatch charges.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 54
Page 1 of 1

54. Please identify all dispatch charges that you charge your end users or customers.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 55
Page 1 of 2

55. Please identify when you are planning to deploy or use "Etherloop" or "G.HDSL Long" technologies, as described on Page 92 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners object on the ground that BellSouth mischaracterizes the initial testimony, such that no response is warranted. Furthermore, Joint Petitioners object because the information sought is confidential, proprietary information. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## **NuVox Response:**

Etherloop and G.HDSL Long are two technologies that are in the research and development stage. Accordingly, as of today a deployment date, if any, for these technologies is uncertain. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

### **NewSouth Response:**

Etherloop and G.HDSL Long are two technologies that are in the research and development stage. Accordingly, as of today a deployment date, if any, for these technologies is uncertain. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC Response:**

The testimony referenced within this Interrogatory is that of NuVox and NewSouth. As is evident within the written testimony, KMC did not sponsor the noted testimony and, therefore, KMC will provide no response to this Interrogatory.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 55
Page 2 of 2

# **Xspedius Response:**

The testimony referenced within this Interrogatory is that of NuVox and NewSouth. As is evident within the written testimony, Xspedius did not sponsor the noted testimony and, therefore, Xspedius will provide no response to this Interrogatory.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 56
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Pages 93 of the Testimony that "Federal law provides, without limitation, that CLPs may request this type of Line Conditioning, insofar as they pay for the work required based on TERLIC-compliant [sic] rates."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the attorney-client privilege, work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

61

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 57
Page 1 of 1

57. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 98 of the Testimony that "the manner in which UNE loops are provisioned, and whether they are usable for CLP service, is squarely within the parameters of Section 251."

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-21 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1

62

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 58
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 98 of the Testimony that "loop unbundling is a separate checklist item under Section 271, and thus this Commission retains the authority to set rules and policy for its provisioning."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-21 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 63

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 59
Page 1 of 1

59. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Pages 99-100 of the Testimony that "the FCC has already found, on a nationwide basis, that CLPs should not be made to build new NIDs."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 60
Page 1 of 1

60. Regarding Issue 2-23(D), please identify any and all of the steps, measures, protections, procedures or other processes that you would use to access an "available pair."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-23(D) has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 65

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 61
Page 1 of 1

61. Regarding Issue 2-24, please identify all instances by date, time, location and WTN, where you have determined that testing of the loop at a place other than the distribution frame and at the end user's premises was required to "detect and pinpoint a problem," as set forth on Page 106 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 62
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 106 of the Testimony that federal law "imposes no limitation on a CLP's right to test loops - both lit and dark fiber loops - at any technical feasible point."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-24 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 67

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 63
Page 1 of 1

63. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statements on Page 107 of the Testimony that "Petitioners will be paying BellSouth for these loops, and should be permitted to do whatever testing is necessary to ensure that they work."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-24 has been resolved.

DC01/HARGG/229750 1 68

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 64
Page 1 of 1

64. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statements on Page 108 of the Testimony that "[t]he law does not require an LOA from a third party carriers [sic]."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 65
Page 1 of 1

65. Regarding Issue 2-28(A) please describe in detail your understanding of "DSL transport" or "DSL service" as used on Page 111 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

DSL transport and DSL service is the provision of connectivity capable of transmitting data, voice, and other content in digitized form at speeds of 124 Kbps or higher using digital subscriber line technology. DSL transport service entails the provision of DSL transport, as well as the provision of Internet access service, e-mail service, and other features. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 66
Page 1 of 1

66. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 117 of the Testimony that "[t]he FCC has concluded that such pre-audits constitute an unjust, unreasonable and discriminatory term and condition for obtaining access to UNE combinations and are prohibited."4

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 67
Page 1 of 1

67. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 118 of the Testimony that "BellSouth's conversions of special access to EELs have resulted in damages of approximately \$1.6 million."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-31 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 68
Page 1 of 1

68. Please describe in detail how you calculated the \$1.6 million in damages described on Page 118 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-31 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 69
Page 1 of 1

69. Please identify all FCC or state commission rules or orders that support your position that BellSouth should only be able to perform an EELs audit for cause as set forth on Page 121 of the Testimony.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-33(a) has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 70
Page 1 of 1

70. Please identify all telecommunications interconnection agreements that have identical or similar language for EELs audits that you are proposing in this proceeding.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required by the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to this request on the ground that interconnection agreements are matters of public record and are easily accessible by BellSouth. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

This question is unreasonably vague as to the specific language for which the item seeks exemplar interconnection agreements. If by this question BellSouth refers to Joint Petitioners' proposal for the frequency, procedures, and costs of EEL audits, NuVox states that its Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth is governed by applicable law, including orders issued by the FCC. Such Interconnection Agreements would therefore contain the same or similar terms as what Joint Petitioners have proposed, as Joint Petitioners' proposed language reflects, or is some instances mirrors, FCC orders relevant to EEL audits.

| NewSouth Response:  |
|---------------------|
| See NuVox Response. |
| KMC Response:       |
| See NuVox Response. |
| Xspedius Response:  |
| See NuVoy Resnonse  |

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 71
Page 1 of 1

71. Please provide a detailed explanation of what you mean by the "concept of materiality," as set forth on Page 124 of the Testimony, providing in detail, examples of when noncompliance would and would not be material.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that this aspect of Issue 2-33(C) has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 72
Page 1 of 1

72. Please identify all interconnection agreements that include the "concept of materiality" for EELs audits.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that this aspect of Issue 2-33(C) has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 73
Page 1 of 1

73. Please identify every instance of "controversy" regarding EELs audits by date, carrier, how each controversy was resolved that support your Testimony on Page 125, wherein you state: "Given the history of controversy that has surrounded BellSouth's EEL audits, the Petitioners understandably have genuine concerns about the legitimacy of BellSouth's EEL audits."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners further object given that BellSouth was a party to all EEL audits and related proceedings and, thus, has equal or better access to the requested information. Accordingly, Joint Petitioners will not provide BellSouth with responsive information.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 74
Page 1 of 1

74. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 128 of the Testimony that "FCC's rules require that BellSouth provide nondiscriminatory access to the dark fiber transport UNE at any technically feasible point, including access for purposes of conducting splicing and testing activities"

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 2-37 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 75
Page 1 of 1

75. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 131 of the Testimony that BellSouth has a "CNAM unbundling obligation."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶¶ 549-551 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). See also 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(d)(4) and § 51.319(i)(B). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 76
Page 1 of 1

76. Identify all instances where you lost an end user and that end user returned to BellSouth or where you were unable to acquire an end user because "caller ID does not appear," as set forth on Page 131 of the Testimony. In responding to this request, please identify the customer name, date, and WTN for each end user.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to the item on the grounds that it mischaracterizes the initial testimony in this case, and as such does not warrant a response. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Presently, Joint Petitioners are unable to identify any specific instance because they do not record or track such information. However, this is not to say that a lost customer returning to BellSouth, or an inability to acquire an end user, has not occurred in the past because caller ID does not appear. Moreover, this is not to say that in the future Joint Petitioners will not lose or fail to acquire a customer because caller ID does not appear. Joint Petitioners recollect that they have in fact lost customers for this reason. Joint Petitioners direct BellSouth's attention to the record in US LEC v. BellSouth, North Carolina Commission Docket No. P-55, SUB 1480 (2003). More specifically, Joint Petitioners direct BellSouth's attention to the Order Issuing Preliminary Injunction and Scheduling Evidentiary Hearing issued in the the above proceeding by Order of the Commission on December 23, 2003. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

81

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 77
Page 1 of 1

77. Identify all instances when BellSouth mischarged you "for a Local Channel when an infra-office cabling scheme is used to connect [your] point-of-presence to the BellSouth switch," as set forth on Page 135 of the Testimony.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-1 has been resolved.

82

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 78
Page 1 of 1

78. Please identify any and all outages that you consider to be a "global outage" for purposes of this agreement.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-2 has been resolved.

83

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 79
Page 1 of 1

79. Please identify all instances in which BellSouth provided a root cause analysis to you.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-2 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 80
Page 1 of 1

80. Please identify every instance and all documents that support your Testimony on Page 140 that you have experienced a global outage involving an entire trunk group. In responding to this request, please identify each outage by date, WTNs affected, location of outage, the trunk groups affected, how long the outage existed, the reason for the outage, and whether BellSouth provided a root cause analysis for the outage.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-2 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 81
Page 1 of 1

81. Please identify all instances and any documents that relate, address, apply or refer to the use of a root cause analysis to respond to customer inquiries regarding service outages or otherwise.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-2 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 82
Page 1 of 1

82. Please identify all documents that relate, address, apply, or refer to any policies you have regarding advising customers as to service problems, "the steps taken to repair and avoid their recurrence in the future," as set forth on Page 141 of the Testimony.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-2 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 83
Page 1 of 1

83. Regarding Issue 3-3, please identify all documents, including but not limited to contracts, tariffs, policies statements, and training manuals, that address, relate, pertain, or refer to the backbilling of customers.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-3 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 84
Page 1 of 1

84. Please identify all instances where you were unable to bill a customer or end user after 90 days. In responding to this request, please identify each instance by date, customer name, WTNs, and amount of charges that you were unable to bill.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-3 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 85
Page 1 of 1

85. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 145 of the Testimony that "there is a potential that BellSouth will pay third parties without carefully scrutinizing their bills and the legal bases therefore, and expect reimbursement from CLPs, for unjust termination charges."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The statement referenced within this Interrogatory expresses the opinion of policy witnesses that there is a "potential" that BellSouth will pay third parties and expect reimbursement from CLPs without BellSouth carefully scrutinizing the third party bills to see whether the third party charges are proper. That Joint Petitioners see a "potential" is a belief held by Joint Petitioners and, thus, encompasses the basis underlying the referenced statement. Joint Petitioners have provided sufficient facts to support this statement within the written testimony. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 86
Page 1 of 1

86. Please identify all instances where BellSouth paid third parties without carefully scrutinizing its bills and then attempted to charge CLPs for these "unjustified termination charges," as set forth on Page 145 of the Testimony. In responding to this request, please identify each instance by date, third party, WTNs, CLP that was asked to pay the "unjust termination charges," the amount of said charges, and whether the CLP disputed these charges

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that this item is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it mischaracterizes the relevant testimony such that no response is required. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Please see response to Interrogatory No. 85. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 87
Page 1 of 1

87. Please identify all instances where BellSouth paid "third parties even when it has no contractual or other legal obligation to do so," as set forth on Page 145 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the grounds that this item is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it mischaracterizes the relevant testimony such that no response is required. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Please see response to Interrogatory No. 85. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

92

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 88
Page 1 of 1

88. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 147 of the Testimony that "[b]ecause factors reporting involves temporal measurements, it is more than likely that replacement factors created by BellSouth will not lend themselves to an apples-to-apples comparison."

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-5 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 89
Page 1 of 1

89. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 148 of the Testimony that "BellSouth has developed the TIC predominantly to exploit its monopoly legacy and overwhelming market power."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The basis for this testimony is clearly provided within the written testimony. This testimony is based on the fact that only BellSouth, because of its monopoly legacy and continuing market dominance, is in the position to provide transit service capable of connecting carriers. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstance warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 90
Page 1 of 1

90. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 150 of the Testimony that "[t]ransiting is an interconnection issue firmly ensconced in Section 251 of the Act."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Petition of Verizon South, Inc. for Declaratory Ruling That Verizon is Not to Transit InterLATA EAS Traffic between Third Party Carriers and Request for Order Requiring Carolina Telephone and Telegraph Company to Adopt Alternative Transport Method, Docket No. P-19, Sub 454, North Carolina Utilities Commission, Order Denying Petition (Sept. 22, 2003). See also Petitions of WorldCom, Inc., Cox Virginia Telecom, Inc. and AT&T Communications of Virginia Inc. Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5) of the Communications Act for Preemption of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia State Corporation Commission Regarding Interconnection Disputes with Verizon Virginia, Inc., and for Expedited Arbitration, CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251, DA 02-1731 (WCB rel. July 17, 2002) (Virginia Arbitration Order). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstance warrant such action.

95

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 91
Page 1 of 1

91. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 157 of the Testimony that "[t]he FCC has held that obligations imposed by Section 251(c)(2) and 251(c)(3) include 'modifications to incumbent LECs facilities to the extent necessary to accommodate interconnection or access to network elements."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony quoted in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020, ¶¶ 250, 639-644 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). See also 47 C.F.R. §§ 51.319(a)(1)(iii), 51.319(a)(8). Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstance warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 92
Page 1 of 1

92. Please state whether you have installed the "appropriate hardware" in your switches to allow for OCn interconnection, as alleged on Page 158 of the Testimony.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-10 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 93
Page 1 of 1

93. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your statement on Page 163 of the Testimony that "[t]o the extent the Parties are carrying non-transit and non-interLATA Switched Access Traffic, the parties should proportionally split the recurring charges for trunks and associated facilities."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-13 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 94
Page 1 of 1

94. Please identify what percentage of your traffic consists of "non-transit and non-interLATA Switched Access Traffic."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 3-13 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 95
Page 1 of 1

95. Regarding your Testimony on Page 166, please identify all instances where, after collocating in a BellSouth premise, you have been unable to "gain access to loops, transport, multiplexers, switch ports, optical terminations and the like" by date, central office, and specific equipment you were unable to access.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 4-1 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 96
Page 1 of 1

96. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that support your statement on Page 191 of the Testimony that BellSouth is required by federal law to provide subscribers payment history in a CSR.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-1 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 97
Page 1 of 1

97. Regarding your testimony on Page 192 of the Testimony that certain Commissions have "already determined" that BellSouth must include subscriber payment history in a CSR, please identify the Commissions you are referring to, the docket in which a Commission made such a finding, and the date of any such finding.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-1 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 98
Page 1 of 1

98. Regarding Issue 6-2, for the last 12 months, please provide, on a monthly basis, the number of CSRs you provided to BellSouth and the number of business days that elapsed on average between the date of receipt of a request for a CSR and the date you provided the CSR to BellSouth.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-2 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 99
Page 1 of 1

99. Regarding Issue 6-4, please identify all products and/or services that you have actually ordered or wish to order from BellSouth that you contend cannot be ordered electronically.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-4 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 100
Page 1 of 1

100. For each such product or service identified in Interrogatory No. 99, please provide on a monthly basis the number of Local Service Requests ("LSRs") that you submitted to BellSouth for each product and/or service for the last 12 months.

## NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-4 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 101
Page 1 of 1

101. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 201 of the Testimony that "NewSouth's experience has been that a significant amount (we currently estimate 25%) of NewSouth's facility orders have to be submitted manually because of address validation errors" and that "NewSouth has found BellSouth to be delinquent in updated address records."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-4 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 102
Page 1 of 1

102. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that support your position on Page 202 of the Testimony that Service Date Advancements should be charged at TELRIC pricing standard.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony referenced in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 11 FCC Rcd 15499 ¶¶ 620, 672, 678 (1996) ("First Report and Order") and In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd 19020 ¶ 29 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). See also 47 C.F.R. Section 51.501 et seq. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 103
Page 1 of 1

103. Regarding Issue 6-6, for the last 12 months, please provide, on a monthly basis, the number of FOCs you provided to BellSouth and the number of business days that elapsed on average between the date of receipt of a request for a FOC and the date you provided the FOC to BellSouth.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-6 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 104
Page 1 of 1

104. Regarding Issue 6-7, for the last 12 months, please provide, on a monthly basis, the number of Reject Responses you provided to BellSouth and the number of business days that elapsed on average between the date of a request for a Reject Response and the date you provided the Reject Response to BellSouth.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-7 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 105
Page 1 of 1

105. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your position on Pages 208-209 of the Testimony that BellSouth is obligated under federal law to provide performance and maintenance history for circuits.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-8 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 106
Page 1 of 1

106. Regarding your statements on Pages 211-212 of your Testimony, please identify (1) all efforts you have undertaken to develop your own OSS systems, (2) the expected completion of your own OSS systems, and (3) all components of your own OSS that remain to be completed.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-9 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 107
Page 1 of 1

107. Please identify all documents that relate, address, apply, or refer the development of your own OSS.

# NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-9 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 108
Page 1 of 1

108. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that support your statements on Page 212 of the Testimony that "BellSouth is required by law to port a customer once the customer requests to be switched to another local service provider, regardless of any arrangement or agreement (or lack thereof) between a Petitioner and BellSouth Long Distance or another third party carrier."

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-10 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 109
Page 1 of 1

109. Please identify all end users you lost or were unable to acquire, by name, WTN, and date of loss, as a result of a requirement that the porting of the end user or customer to the CLP is contingent on either the CLP having an operating, billing and/or collection arrangement with any third party carrier, including BellSouth Long Distance or the customer or End User changing its PIC.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-10 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 110
Page 1 of 1

110. Please identify all long distance carriers that you do not have an operating, billing, and/or collection arrangement with.

NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners note that Issue 6-10 has been resolved.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 111
Page 1 of 1

111. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 216 of the Testimony that "mass migrations at most amount to bulk porting situations . . ."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object to the extent that this item seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, all non-privileged documents, if any, responsive to this request and in the possession of the Joint Petitioners will be produced in accord with the discovery guidelines mandated by this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

The statement referenced within this Interrogatory expresses the opinion of policy witnesses that switching several customers of one CLP to another involves largely the same operations as the switching of one CLP customer to another CLP, done in bulk. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

116

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 112
Page 1 of 2

112. Regarding your statement on Page 217 of the Testimony that "[t]oo many carriers already have faced too many obstacles to getting mass migrations accomplished by BellSouth in a reasonable manner," please identify (1) the specific obstacles you are referring to; (2) the carriers attempting to perform the mass migration; and (3) the location of the customer base that was migrated or was attempted to be migrated.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

See Xspedius Response. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, NuVox reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

See Xspedius Response. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, NewSouth reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **KMC** Response:

See Xspedius Response. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, KMC reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Xspedius is an acquisitive company. It began by purchasing out of bankruptcy the assets of e.spire Communications, Inc. and last year purchased the Texas assets of Mpower Communications, Inc. In connection with the Mpower asset purchase, Xspedius faced unilateral pricing from another RBOC in connection with the purchase and migration of assets. In going through this process,

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 112
Page 2 of 2

Xspedius realized that it needed to ensure that future interconnection agreements placed limits on the charges that could be assessed under these circumstances. The acquiring company usually needs to move quickly to bring the assets of the two companies together. Accordingly, the incumbent is, to a large extent, in a position to dictate the process and the pricing associated with that process. BellSouth has proposed a new process for these circumstances, but the pricing is still set unilaterally by BellSouth. It is critical that there be TELRIC limits on the pricing imposed by BellSouth under these circumstances. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 113
Page 1 of 1

113. Please identify all legal authority, with appropriate citations, that supports your position on Page 218 that mass migration services should be priced at TELRIC.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory on the ground that it seeks information that is protected under the work product doctrine or other applicable privilege. Joint Petitioners further object on the ground that the information requested is not discoverable under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The testimony referenced in this item expresses the opinion of policy witnesses. Based on these objections, the Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

In the Matter of Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Telecommunications Act of 1996, 11 FCC Rcd. 15499 ¶¶ 620, 672, 678 (1996) ("First Report and Order"); In the Matter of Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers, 18 FCC Rcd. 19020 ¶ 29 (2003) (Triennial Review Order). See also 47 C.F.R. Section 51.501 et seq. Given the ongoing nature of discovery, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 114
Page 1 of 1

114. Please identify the specific steps and processes that you believe are needed to perform mass migration of customers.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, and thus unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object to the extent that this item seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners also object to this Request on the ground that it seeks information that is within the possession, custody and control of BellSouth, and that Joint Petitioners cannot reasonably be expected to know all the methods and procedures required for placing mass migration orders in BellSouth's OSS system to which Joint Petitioners have never had access. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Joint Petitioners respectfully note that the processes believed to be needed for mass migration have been provided to BellSouth via email from John Heitmann to James Tamplin and Rhona Reynolds on August 24, 2004. To reiterate the contents of that email, Joint Petitioners believe that the following processes are necessary to perform the mass migration of customers:

- OSS Charge per spreadsheet, per line or per number of lines (bulk)
- Order Coordination for physical moves
- Project Coordination to manage completion of the entire spreadsheet
- Billing/Records Change database updates per circuit/service arrangement or at carrier level
- Disconnect Orders to discontinue circuits or service arrangements
- Retermination Orders to a new CFA
- Completion Notification per circuit, service arrangement or spreadsheet
- Retagging of circuit per circuit or number of circuits
- Collocation Records Change per collocation arrangement or CFA
- Interconnection Trunk Records Change per trunk or group of trunks

Joint Petitioners note that they asked BellSouth to identify other processes that might be needed to perform mass migrations, but Joint Petitioners have yet to receive anything from BellSouth. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 115
Page 1 of 1

115. Please identify all documents that relate, address, apply, or refer to your allegations on Pages 218-219 of the Testimony that Xspedius once attempted "to accomplish mass migration of several special access circuits to UNE loops."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Joint Petitioners further object to this Interrogatory on the ground that all information regarding requests for mass migration is in BellSouth's possession. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox: Response

The testimony referenced within this Interrogatory is solely that of Xspedius. As is evident within the written testimony, NuVox did not sponsor the noted testimony and, therefore, NuVox will provide no response to this Interrogatory.

#### **NewSouth Response:**

The testimony referenced within this Interrogatory is solely that of Xspedius. As is evident within the written testimony, NewSouth did not sponsor the noted testimony and, therefore, NewSouth will provide no response to this Interrogatory.

#### **KMC Response:**

The testimony referenced within this Interrogatory is solely that of Xspedius. As is evident within the written testimony, KMC did not sponsor the noted testimony and, therefore, KMC will provide no response to this Interrogatory.

#### **Xspedius Response:**

Xspedius directs BellSouth's attention to email corresponseence already in BellSouth's possession which involved communications between both parties regarding Xspedius' attempt to mass migrate special access circuits to UNE Loops. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Xspedius reserves the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstance warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utılıtıes Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 116
Page 1 of 1

116. Please identify all instances in which you have billed BellSouth or another carrier for services rendered more than 90 days after the bill date on which those charges ordinarily would have been billed.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Request on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, and thus unduly burdensome. Joint Petitioners also object to the extent that this item seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. The information sought in this Request is not relevant to the matter being arbitrated in Issue 7-1. On the basis of these objections, Joint Petitioners will not provide responsive information.

### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

To date, Joint Petitioners have been unable to identify any instance where they have billed BellSouth or another carrier for services rendered more than 90 days after the bill date. However, Joint Petitioners' search for responsive information is ongoing, and, in the event Joint Petitioners uncover any responsive information, Joint Petitioners will immediately supplement this response.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 117
Page 1 of 1

Please identify all charges that would not be subject to the exemptions to the 90 day backbilling prohibition you testify about on Page 222 of the Testimony.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### NuVox, NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

This Interrogatory mischaracterizes the testimony. The testimony in fact states that there "may be circumstances" under which parties may backbill. At this time, Joint Petitioners have no examples of the charges referenced in this Interrogatory. Given the ongoing nature of the discovery process, Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should circumstances warrant such action.

123

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No. 118
Page 1 of 1

118. Please provide the basis of and identify all facts and/or documents that support your statement on Page 229 of the Testimony that "[1]t is my understanding that the BFR/BNR process is a lengthy, expensive and typically unsatisfactory process."

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

In 1998, NuVox contacted Mark Cathey, then-Vice President of Interconnection Services for BellSouth, and requested that billing records be compiled in a certain format. Mr. Cathey asked that NuVox complete a BFR Request form and return same to BellSouth. NuVox complied with Mr. Cathey's directions. BellSouth responded to the BFR by stating that the cost associated with the Nuvox request would range from several hundred thousand dollars to millions of dollars and, additionally, that the entire cost was to be borne by NuVox. NuVox found the quoted cost to be prohibitively expensive and believed that such cost could not be absorbed by the company. Accordingly, NuVox abandoned further attempts to acquire that which was requested in the BFR. In further response to this Interrogatory, NuVox identifies those documents produced pursuant to Request for Production No. 66.

#### NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

These Joint Petitioners are familiar with the troubles of NuVox and other carriers. Upon hearing of the trials and tribulations of such carriers, these Joint Petitioners came to the opinion that the BFR/BNR process is lenghty, expensive and unsatisfactory. These Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004
Item No 119
Page 1 of 1

119. Please identify all instances where you have used the BFR/BNR process with BellSouth.

OBJECTION: Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it is vague, overly broad, and unduly burdensome. In addition, Joint Petitioners object to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or is beyond the scope of what is required under the Rules of Civil Procedure. Subject to and without waiving any objections, Joint Petitioners will provide non-privileged, responsive information, if any, pursuant to the discovery guidelines of this proceeding.

#### **NuVox Response:**

See Response to Interrogatory No. 118.

#### NewSouth, KMC and Xspedius Response:

Presently, these Joint Petitioners cannot identify an instance where any of them have used the BFR/BNR process with BellSouth. These Joint Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this response should the circumstances warrant such action.

# Respectfully submitted, JOINT PETITIONERS

By:

Henry C. Campen, Jr.
Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP
Wachovia Capitol Center
150 Fayetteville Street Mall
Suite 1400
Raleigh, NC 27602-0389
Telephone: (919) 890-4145
henrycampen@parkerpoe.com

John J. Heitmann Stephanie A. Joyce Garret R. Hargrave Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N.W Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 955-9600 (202) 955-9792 (facsimile)

Their Attorneys

Dated: December 7, 2004

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1st Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004

# ATTACHMENTS TO REQUEST NO. 13

CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY & FILED UNDER SEAL (XSP000090-XSP000091)

----Original Message----From: Hinkle, Carl

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2004 4:05 PM

To: Turer, Danielle; Falvey, Jim; McLaurin, Michelle

Cc: Arbogast, Allison

Subject: FW: Recall Systems

Importance: High

Jim-

Here is an e-mail I received today regarding Recall systems See the bottom of the e-mail chain for an explanation from the customer

Thanks,

#### Carl Hinkle

Xspedius Communications
Customer Management Specialist - Atlanta, GA

Phone (678) 443-1234 Toll Free (800) 538-5750 Ext 1234 Fax (678) 443 0965

----Original Message----From: McLaurin, Michelle

E-Mail carl hinkle@xspedius com

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2004 2:43 PM

To: Hinkle, Carl

**Subject:** Recall Systems **Importance:** High

Do you know about this one? How did that circuit get disconnected? Are there pending orders in the system?

thanks, Michelle

----Original Message----From: Reed, David

Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2004 2:13 PM

To: Carson, Rabinai

Cc: Coaxum, David; Dunn, Scott; McLaurin, Michelle

Subject: FW: Redunancy Issues

Recall Systems is down again today due to BellSouth disconnecting their circuit. What is the process we will be going through to ensure the customer does not continue to have these outages. This customer has been down three times in the last 90 days

Best Regards,

# David W. Reed IV

Office (678) 443-1244 Cell (770) 310-8745 david.reed@xspedius.com

----Original Message-----

From: Reed, David

Sent: Friday, October 08, 2004 11:19 AM

To: Carson, Rabinai

Cc: Coaxum, David; Dunn, Scott Subject: FW: Redunancy Issues

Mr Carson,

Below is the description outlining the issues one of our customers is experiencing with BellSouth techs compromising the integrity of Xspedius provided circuits. Feel free to contact the customer directly. I informed Sanjay that I would send it up through our channels to initiate any influence we may have on correcting the situation.

Best Regards,

# David W. Reed IV

Office (678) 443-1244 Cell (770) 310-8745 david.reed@xspedius.com

> -----Original Message------From: Dunn, Scott

Sent: Friday, October 08, 2004 9:51 AM

To: Reed, David

Subject: RE: Redunancy Issues

I would recommend that you send your complaint through our legal channels

Scott

-----Original Message-----

From: Reed, David

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 4:07 PM

To: Dunn, Scott

Cc: Brewington, Stanley

Subject: FW: Redunancy Issues

Scott,

#### Message

Is there anyone we can forward this to that can file a complaint with BellSouth on behalf of Xspedius?

Stan,

Could you please send Sanjay the BGP Questionnaire

Best Regards,

# David W. Reed IV

Office (678) 443-1244 Cell (770) 310-8745 david.reed@xspedius.com

----Original Message-----

From: Sanjay Arora [mailto:sarora@recallsystems.com]

Sent: Thursday, October 07, 2004 3:56 PM

To: Reed, David

Subject: Redunancy Issues

Hı David,

We have recently had issues with our internet access. On two separate occasions within the past 60 days, BellSouth technicians have made changes that have interrupted our service. On the first occasion, on August 17<sup>th</sup> (Ticket #102771) the BellSouth technician pulled our T-1 out of our suite and reassigned it to another suite. It took a full day to get this incident resolved. The second incident occurred approximately 10 days ago, our network started exhibiting the same type of issues. This time we checked with security before calling Xspedius and there was a BellSouth technician in the building. Once we located the technician he was able to bring our connectivity back up

Since this issue seems to be a more and more common issue my management staff has asked me for a contingency plan. I would like to set up a redundant SDSL connection and use BGP routing What do I need to do to get this process started?

Please let me know if you have any questions or concerns

Regards, Sanjay Arora Systems Engineer Recall Systems, Inc 770-551-8888 ext. 8460

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and then delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos P-772, Sub 8 et al
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004

ATTACHMENTS TO REQUEST NO. 16

CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY & FILED UNDER SEAL (NVX000040)

#### SERVICE EXHIBIT T LCCAL ACCESS WHOLESALE SERVICES AGREEMENT

BUILDING OWNER UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED UPON BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND BUILDING OWNER.

9.5 Cross-Connect Transmission Levels. Transmission (DSN and OCN) levels and quality of signal transmission must be such as to not damage or otherwise harm or degrade Qwest facilities or network(s). Transmission signals must not cause alarms on the Qwest network Customer represents and warrants that any Cross-Connects purchased hereunder shall be used for cross connects at such signal level as specified in the associated order form, and shall operate with the electrical or optical charactaristics for such signal levels in accordance with industry and Owest standards for the same (which Qwest standards shall be provided upon written request). Customer will use its best efforts to ensure a prompt response time for Cross-Connect failures on Customer's side of the demarcation point, and any such failure on Customer's side of the Cross-Connect shall not give rise to outages or outage credits pursuant to any Service Exhibits under the Agreement.

#### 10. Outage Credits

10.1 Service Level Agreement. The On-Nat Local Access Service is subject to the following availability service level agreement ("Sen-ca Level Agreement') that is effective as of the first day of the sexon month after initial metallation of a particular On-Net Circuit Customer shall, subject to the terms, exclusions and restrictions described herein, be entitled to receive from Qwest a credit if the availability ("Circuit Availability") of a particular On-Net Local Access Service for any calendar month falls below the percentage shown in the crecit schedule included in this section. Qwast shall guarantee the Ciralit Availability only to the point to which Owest can perform remote loopback testing, even if the Demarcation Point extends past such testing point. An ON-Net Circuit shall for purposes of this document be deemed to be unavailable to Customer only if the On-Net Circuit ("Affected Circuit") is subject to an interruption (other than as noted in Section 10.5herein) that results in the total disruption of the On-Net Local Access Service ("Outaga"). The credit ("Outaga Credit") to which Customer may be entitled under this section shall be equal to the applicable Circuit Availability Percentage (Identified in the table below) of Customer's monthly recurring charges (MRCs) for the Affected Circuit after application of any credits or discounts ("Eligible Circuit Charges'). The Outage Credit shall not include credits on any other monthly recurring charges charged to Customer for any other services. Owest does not provide Outage Credits for Leased Circuits.

The applicable Circuit Availability Percentage is calculated as follows:

[ [(Number of days in Calendar Month  $\times$  24  $\times$  60) – (Minutes of Outage on Affected Circuit in Calendar Month)] / [(Number of days in Calendar Month  $\times$  24  $\times$  60)]]  $\times$  [100]

#### 10 2 OUTAGE CREDIT SCHEDULE FOR ON-NET FACILITIES.

| % Circuit | Availability | Minutes of Outage | Credit Applicacie |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 100%      | 99 999       | Less than 1       | 0%                |
| <99.999   | 99.99        | >1 to 4           | 10%               |
| <99.99    | 99,9         | >4 to 43          | 25%               |
| <99,8     | 99.5         | >43 to 216        | 50%               |
| <99,5     | Below        | >216              | 100%              |

For purposes of measuring Customer's Circuit Availability, the Qwast Trouble Management System determines the number of full minutes of an Outage, The length of each Outage shall be calculated in minutes. An Outage shall be deemed to have commenced upon verifiable notification thereof by Customer to the Qwast Trouble Management System, or, when indicated by network control information actually

known to Qwest network personnel, whichever is earlier. Each Outage shall be deemed to terminate upon restoration of the Affected Carcuit as evidenced by appropriate network tests by Qwest.

10.3 Chronic Outages, An On-Net Circuit euffers from Chronic Outages if euch On-Net Circuit, measured over any calendar month, experiences more than five unrelated (5) Outages, or more than force eight (48) eggregate hours of Outages, Subject to Sections 10.2 and 10.3 below, Customer may as its sole and exclusive remedy for Chronic Outages, upon thirty (30) days' prior written notice to Gwest, terminate the affected On-Net Circuit without further obligation.

10.4 Outside Credit Terms and Conditions

- (a) To be eligible for an Outage Credit under the Service Level Agreement, Customer must, in addition to complying with the other terms included herein, (I) be in good standing with Ovest and current in its payment obligations under the Agreement and (II) submit necessary supporting documentation and request reimbursement or credits hereunder within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of the service month in which the Outage Question and request is besed occurs. In the event Customer falls to comply with the condition set forth in the immediately preceding sentance, Customer shall, with respect to that remedy, have waived its right to such remedy.
- (b) Qwest shall not process Outage Credits for the objective inducted in the Service Level Agreement less than \$25.00 per Affected Circuit for any Calendar Month
- (c) In no event shall Owest provide Outage Credits to Customer for an Affected Circuit that exceeds one hundred percent (100%) of the monthly recurring charge for the Affected Circuit or the stated applicable maximum credit percentage.
- (d) Gwest will determine the Outage Credits provided to Customer by totaling the eligible Outage minutes throughout the Calendar Month on an Affected Circuit, subject to the restrictions and exclusions herein.
- (e) The remedies included in this document are Customer's sole and exclusive remedies for disruption of the Service and shall apply in the of any other service interruption guarantee or credit, outage guarantee or credit or performance credit for which Customer might have otherwise been eligible.

#### 10.5 Restrictions and Exclusions.

An Outage shall not be deemed to have occurred in the event that 2's On-Net Circuit is unavailable or impaired due to any of the following:

- (a) Interruptions on an On-Net Circuit that is not an "Accepted Circuit" where an Accepted Circuit is one that Qwest and Customer have tealed and mutually agree is working as ordered following provisioning of an order or change order;
- (b) interruptions caused by the negligence, error or omission of Customer or others authorized by Customer to use or modify Customer's service;
- (c) Interruptions due to failure of power at Customer premises or failure or poor performance of Customer premise equipment;
- Interruptions during any period in which Qwest or its agents are not afforded access to the premises where the access times associated with Customer's service are termineted, provided such access is reasonably necessary to prevent a degradation or to restore service;
- (e) Interruptions during any period when Qwest has posted on the Qwest Web site or communicated to Customer in any other manner that Customer's service will be unavailable for Scheduled Maintenance or rearrangement purposes, or Customer has released the service to Qwest for the Installation of a Customer Service Order;

6/22/2004



#### XSPEDIUS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AGREEMENT General Terms and Conditions

EXPEDIUS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AGREEMENT
General Form and General Prima and Conditions

The Sorme Agreement Prima International Conditions

The Sorme Agreement Prima International Conditions

The Sorme Agreement of The International Condition

who as it higher level of management than the greatest with discussion will be life on the first production and office or the production of the discussion will be life on the discussion of the dependent opportune provided request for referent to informate make by one party the hold of the objective of the dependent opportune or as office to receive state as shall be provided to the provided of the provided provided to the provided to the provided provided to the provided provided to the provid

10. THANSPER AND ASSIGNMENT. Catemer may not sell, surge or transfer may of Customers agilts or obligacous under that Agreement without Xupedius pror written consent. Xipedius may stripe that Agreement upon nooses to Customers.

11. PERCEL MAJELINE. Any deby intorruption, or recorperformance of my provision shall be deemed to be extended for a period equal to the durison of the condisions prevening performance. Such examples include but are not limited in, acts of God, elements, weather conditions, surchquaker stretchements, fire, acculates, power failures, cable cus Guised by <sup>34</sup> parties, acts or omissions of governmental withoutness, monotonium or situations reliang to construction, or shortings of labor and material.

2. INSTALLATION REQUISERTED ININSPRIMATION, in order to installed Customers' Service, Xupedius may need Customers to promote Xipedius with centum information in a surchy fashion. Time u of the custome. If Xupedius does not recover the requested information in a commercially reasonable time frame, and Customer terminates the Agreement, Customer will be required to provide the required information in a surchy fashion. Time u of the customer living the provided of the provided of the provided of the surchy of the provided of th

| <                | Service Agreement v 2004 8 1 04 |
|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Customer Initial | 2 of 3                          |



#### Terms and Conditions. Internet ConneXions/ Data ConneXions/ Metro ConneXions

in eddition to the General Terms and Conditions set forth on the back of the Service Agreement by and between Customer and Xipodius, Customer bereby agrees and understands that the following terms and conditions shall use apply when Customer uses any of Xipodius' Internet Services, necluding Internet ConneXions, Data ConneXions, and Metro ConneXions states of products.

Customer uses any of Xspodius' internet Services, including Internet Connexions, Data Connexions, Data Connexions, nates of products.

Xspodius' Respensibilities.

Access Tirent - Xspodius will provision a telecommunications crount for Internet Access Service, from the demarcation point of Customer's site unless Customer unitries to Xspodius otherwise. Customer will be responsible for any feed charged by the local earthwaye carner for the customer of the circuit to another location.

P address networks attained currently from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not route IP address networks attained durectly from ARIN however, Xspodius will not provide Primary or Socondary DNS to for sure IP address not never a spod provide Primary or Socondary DNS to found the provider of the address networks and the provider of the addr

1 The demorcation point for these Services is the established trean demorcation point for the telecommunications access circuit at Castomer's site. Extended demorcation to another location at Customer's site may be available at an additional charge which Customer must pay in advance.

Customer generated information. In order to appropriately activate and maintain Customer's service, Customer must provide Xspedius with the following information in a timely mainter: Customer contents; and all technical information reasonably required by Xspedius to perform Xspedius responsibilities responsibilities.

Secontify: Customer must make any and all provinces for establishing proper security for Customer's Internet or data access service.

Measurement and Monitoring: Customer is responsible for managing the expansers the located on the LLN fine of the review container. If Xspedius isolates a problem beyond the demarcation point, as defined above. Customer stabll be released to the full resolution and completion. Customer stabll have no remody or claim for service sunges or degradations resulting from any actions beyond the demarcation point, as defined above. Customer shall near the service sunges or degradations resulting from any actions beyond the demarcation point. If Customer supplies its own CEQ Continuer shall near the service sunges or degradation resultant from monitoring and maintenance, calculated from the continuents and suntenance of all CPE and CEQ in the insula installation. Xspedius half provide must configurate on configuration quadelines. Customer shall remain responsible for configuration monitoring, and maintenance, unless router manutenance services are set out in Customer's Service with a fine and the configuration of the CEQ in the insula installation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insula insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation and the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the configuration of the CEQ in the insulation provide in the

Network Performence Measurements Xrpedius endervors to maintain the following network service measurements

|                           | Internet  | Frume  | ATM                      |
|---------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|
| Availability              | 99 999%   | 99 999%  | 99 999%                  |
| Median round-trip latency | 60 multi-seconds  | 100 miliseconds  | 100 milliseconds         |
|                           |   | SA SECURITY STATES OF THE PARTY |                          |
| packet loss               | 0.5%  |  |                          |
| Delivery Rate             | Employed the mineral of the committee of the mine and after the first of the committee of | 90 OW CTP  | 99 9% SCR                |
| •                         |   | 99% non-CIR <sup>3</sup>   | 99% non-SCR <sup>3</sup> |

This met. Availability is defined as the ability for Xapedius' outcomers to exchange traffic from their network, across Xapedius' network to Xapedius' internet porting points. Frame and ATM availability only covers lotted as Services and includes co-not ports and PVCs. Internet, Frame and ATM availability are all measured from the point of operats on Xapedius' network. Availability of access through the CPE is not guaranteed under the terms of the Managed Firewall service. Availability of access through the CPE is guaranteed under the terms of the Managed Firewall service. Availability of access through the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless Xapedius provides the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless Xapedius provides the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless Xapedius provides the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless Xapedius provides the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless Xapedius provides the local loop to Xapedius' network is not guaranteed, unless throughout the calcarder month.

"Average picks loss will be additionable by evaluating samples taken from texts run between Xapedius' network core habs over the course of a calcarder month.

"Not applicable to 1) PVCs with CIE/ SCR less than 25% of port proed or 7) port oversubscribed by more then 200%.

Outage Credate
Customer will be eligible to receive a credit for any outages that Customer experiences due to unavailability of the Service or when the Customer experiences more than 20% packet loss within Xipedius' network. In order to sensive an outage credit Customer must open a trouble tucket with Customer Care. Crediu will be given based upon the outage time recorded in the applicable trouble tucket. Customer will be eligible for a credit on Customer will be eligible for a credit open at the outage is generate than 20 manuses, but less that 4 hours, Customer will be eligible for a credit equal to the value of two days? Service. The maximum credit evaluable in say calcular mooth is 30% of the monthly recurring charges for the iffected Service. Customer will not creave outage credit similarable to 1) pleaned, schemical or recurring manuscances; 2) any Customer evented or -ordered eligible for a credit equal to the value of two days? Service. The maximum credit evaluable in any customer's applicable conserved and order described eligible for a credit equal to the value of two days? Service. The maximum credit evaluable in any customer's applicable conserved or -ordered eligible for a credit equal to the value of two days? Service. The maximum credit evaluable in the plant of the maximum credit evaluable in the plant of the maximum credit evaluable in the plant of the service applicable of the infected Service. Customer's applicable, or for facilities, 3) set or consumer of Customer, or any user of the service actioners or facilities, 3) set or consumer of Customer, or any user of the service actionment of the facilities, 4) set or consumers of Customer, or any user of the service actionment of the facilities of the customer of the facilities

Customer's company name:
 Sufficient information which will allow Xipedius to contact Customer;
 The date[or and duration(s)] of events; and
 The Xipedius trouble telect numbers Customer has been given by Xipedius Customer Cure that was associated with the outage.

Upon Xrpolius' sole determination that an outage took place, Customer will receive the appropriate Outage Credit.

| YCD    | 000005 |
|--------|--------|
| \Z\O\T | 000003 |

Service Agreement v. 2004 8 1 04

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1
First Revised Sheet 38
Cancels Original Sheet 38
(T)

#### REGULATIONS

### 2.5 <u>Payment Arrangements</u>

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### A) <u>Taxes</u>

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

# 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.

| ssued: March 5, 2003 | Effective: |
|----------------------|------------|

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 39 Cancels Original Sheet 39

# (T)

#### REGULATIONS

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

# 2.5.2 <u>Billing and Collection of Charges</u> (Cont'd)

- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.
- Dilling of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this price list or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.
- E) The Customer will be assessed a charge of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- G) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges

| Issued: | March 5, 2003 | Effective: |  |
|---------|---------------|------------|--|

21Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius CommunicationsLa. P.S.C. No.1 Section 2- Original Page 21

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### REGULATIONS

# 2.5 Payment Arrangements

4

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### A) Taxes

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

# 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.
- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.
- D) Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.

22Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius CommunicationsLa. P.S.C. No.1 Section 2- Original Page 22

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### REGULATIONS

# 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

# 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges (Cont'd)

- E) The Customer will be assessed a charge of fifteen (\$15.00) for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges.
- G) If any portion of the payment is received by the Company after the date due, or if any portion of the payment is received by the Company in funds that are not immediately available, then a late payment penalty shall be due to the Company. The late payment penalty shall be the portion of the payment not received by the date due, multiplied by the lesser of the highest percentage allowable by the Louisiana Public Service Commission or a late factor of 1.5% per month.

#### 2.5.2.1 Contested Charges

- A. All bills are presumed accurate, and shall be binding on the Customer unless objection is received by the Company no more than fifteen days (15) days after such bills are rendered. In the case of a billing dispute between the Customer and the Company for service furnished to the Customer, which cannot be settled with mutual satisfaction, the Customer may take the following course of action no more than fifteen (15) days after the billing date:
  - 1) First, the Customer may request, and the Company will provide, an in-depth review of the disputed amount. (The undisputed portion and subsequent bills must be paid on a timely basis or the service may be subject to disconnection.)

#### **REGULATIONS**

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### A) Taxes

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

# 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.
- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.

Effective: September 21, 2003

#### REGULATIONS

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.2 <u>Billing and Collection of Charges</u> (Cont'd)

- D) Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.
- E) The Customer will be assessed a charge of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- G) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges

Issued: August 21, 2003 James C. Falvey

Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046 Effective: September 21, 2003

#### REGULATIONS AND SCHEDULE OF INTRASTATE CHARGES

#### 2. REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### 2.5.2 <u>Taxes</u>

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

#### 2.5.3 Billing and Collection of Charges

- 2.5.3.1 Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- 2.5.3.2 The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

Issued By:

James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, Maryland 21046

# REGULATIONS AND SCHEDULE OF INTRASTATE CHARGES

#### 2. REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

## 2.5 Payment arrangements - (Cont'd)

## 2.5.3 Billing and Collection of Charges (Cont'd)

| 2.5.3.3 | When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the |
|---------|--|
|         | last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which |
|         | service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this   |
|         | purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.                      |

| 2.5.3.4 | Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service            |
|---------|---|
|         | Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which       |
|         | the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available |
|         | for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed         |
|         | by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not  |
|         | conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing |
|         | accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement |
|         | or component is discontinued.   |

| 2.5.3.5 | The Customer will be assessed a charge of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00)  |
|---------|--|
|         | for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial |
|         | institution refuses to honor.  |

- 2.5.3.6 Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- 2 5.3.7 If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

Issued By:
James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President
Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### REGULATIONS

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

### A) Taxes

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

#### 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.
- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.

Effective: September 4, 2002

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.2 <u>Billing and Collection of Charges</u> (Cont'd)

- D) Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.
- E) The Customer will be assessed a fee not to exceed the statutorily allowed charge for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- G) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges

James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 2

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Issued: September 25, 2002

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### A) Taxes

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

#### 2 5 2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.
- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.

Issued: June 18, 2002
James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges (Cont'd)

- D) Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.
- E) The Customer will be assessed a charge of ten dollars (\$10.00) for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- G) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges

Issued: June 18, 2002
James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements

#### 2.5.1 Payment for Service

The Customer is responsible for the payment of all charges for facilities and services furnished by the Company to the Customer and to all Users authorized by the Customer, regardless of whether those services are used by the Customer itself or are resold to or shared with other persons.

#### A) Taxes

The Customer is responsible for payment of any universal service, sales, use, gross receipts, excise, access or other local, state and federal taxes, charges or surcharges (however designated) (excluding taxes on Company's net income) imposed on or based upon the provision, sale or use of Network Services.

#### 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges

- A) Non-recurring charges are due and payable from the customer within 30 days after the invoice date, unless otherwise agreed to in advance.
- B) The Company shall present invoices for Recurring Charges monthly to the Customer, in advance of the month in which service is provided, and Recurring Charges shall be due and payable within 30 days after the invoice date. When billing is based on customer usage, charges will be billed monthly for the preceding billing periods.
- C) When service does not begin on the first day of the month, or end on the last day of the month, the charge for the fraction of the month in which service was furnished will be calculated on a pro rata basis. For this purpose, every month is considered to have 30 days.

Issued: March 16, 2004

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.2 <u>Billing and Collection of Charges</u> (Cont'd)

- D) Billing of the Customer by the Company will begin on the Service Commencement Date, which is the first day following the date on which the Company notifies the Customer that the service or facility is available for use, except that the Service Commencement Date may be postponed by mutual agreement of the parties, or if the service or facility does not conform to standards set forth in this tariff or the Service Order. Billing accrues through and includes the day that the service, circuit, arrangement or component is discontinued.
- E) The Customer will be assessed a charge of twenty-five dollars (\$25.00) for each check submitted by the Customer to the Company that a financial institution refused to honor.
- F) Customers have up to 90 days (commencing 5 days after remittance of the bill) to initiate a dispute over charges or to receive credits.
- G) If service is disconnected by the Company in accordance with section 2.5.5 following and later restored, restoration of service will be subject to all applicable installation charges

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

#### 2.1.4 Liability of the Company

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

### 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

- 2.1 <u>Undertaking of the Company</u> (Cont'd)
  2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)
  - F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
  - G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
  - H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
  - L) THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
  - M) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.3, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
  - N) The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

Issued: June 18, 2002 James C. Falvey Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

### 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- A) any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- B) any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issued: June 18, 2002
James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issued: June 18, 2002 James C. Falvey Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - 1) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) an estimated bill for two regular billing periods.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- C) When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit with accrued interest, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may return the deposit.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Alabama Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits.

Issued: June 18, 2002
James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.5 <u>Discontinuance of Service</u>

- A) Upon nonpayment of any regulated amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 5 days prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- D) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- E) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.

00

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC 1/b/a Xspedius Communications

A.P.S.C. No. 1 Section 2 - Original Page 26

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service (Cont'd)

F) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).

Issued: June 18, 2002
James C. Falvey
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 23 Cancels Original Sheet 23

## (T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

### 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u>

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

|                     | TOC- adiator |  |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| auad: March 5 2003  | Effective:   |  |
| amade March & 70014 |              |  |

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 24 Cancels Original Sheet 24

# (T)

## **REGULATIONS**

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

| Issued: | March 5, 2003   | Effective: |  |
|---------|-----------------|------------|--|
| Issuca. | Iviaich J, 2003 |            |  |

## (T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

- 2.1 <u>Undertaking of the Company</u> (Cont'd)
  2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)
  - F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
  - G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
  - H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
  - THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
  - J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
  - K) The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of numerous third parties, including third party vendors, whose Year 200 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond it control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data and other equipment and supplies.

|         |               | Effective: |  |
|---------|---------------|------------|--|
| issued: | March 5, 2003 |            |  |

James C. Falvey
Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1
First Revised Sheet 34
Cancels Original Sheet 34

(T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

#### 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- A) any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- B) any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

|        | March 5, 2003 | Effective: |  |
|--------|---------------|------------|--|
| ceneu. | March 5 2003  |            |  |

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 40 Cancels Original Sheet 40

(T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issued: March 5, 2003 Effective:

James C. Falvey
Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 41 Cancels Original Sheet 41

(T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - 1) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- C) When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Florida Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

| Issued: | March 5, 2003 | Effective: |  |
|---------|---------------|------------|--|

James C. Falvey
Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

Xspedius Management Co. Switched Services, LLC d/b/a Xspedius Communications

F.P.S.C. Price List No. 1 First Revised Sheet 42 Cancels Original Sheet 42

(T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

### 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service

- A) Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 24 hours prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.

|           | <del></del>   |            |
|-----------|---------------|------------|
| Issued: N | March 5, 2003 | Effective: |

(T)

#### **REGULATIONS**

- 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)
  - 2.5.5 <u>Discontinuance of Service</u> (Cont'd)
  - D) Upon the Customer's insolvency, assignment for the benefit of creditors, filing for bankruptcy or reorganization, or failing to discharge an involuntary petition within the time permitted by law, the Company may immediately discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
  - E) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
  - F) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.
  - G) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this price list, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).
  - A) Upon the use of service or facilities for calls, anonymous or otherwise, in a manner reasonably to be expected to frighten, abuse, torment, or harass another, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
  - I) The Company reserves the right to cancel any contract for service with, and to discontinue service to, any person who uses or permits the use of obscene, profane or grossly abusive language over, or by means of, the Company's facilities, and who, after reasonable notice, fails, neglects or refuses to cease and refrain from such practice or to prevent the same, and to remove its property from the premises of such person.

| Issued: | March 5, 2003 | Effective: |
|---------|---------------|------------|

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

#### 2.1.4 Liability of the Company

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

Issued: March 16, 2004 Effective: April 16, 2004

# 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

# 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
- G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
- H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
- K) The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

#### 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- A) any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- B) any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issued: March 16, 2004

# 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Georgia Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service

- A) Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 24 hours prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 <u>Discontinuance of Service</u> (Cont'd)

- D) Upon the Customer's insolvency, assignment for the benefit of creditors, filing for bankruptcy or reorganization, or failing to discharge an involuntary petition within the time permitted by law, the Company may immediately discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- E) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- F) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.
- G) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).
- H) Upon the use of service or facilities for calls, anonymous or otherwise, in a manner reasonably to be expected to frighten, abuse, torment, or harass another, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- The Company reserves the right to cancel any contract for service with, and to discontinue service to, any person who uses or permits the use of obscene, profane or grossly abusive language over, or by means of, the Company's facilities, and who, after reasonable notice, fails, neglects or refuses to cease and refrain from such practice or to prevent the same, and to remove its property from the premises of such person.

Issued: March 16, 2004

## 2. REGULATIONS (cont'd)

# 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

# 2.1.3 Terms and Conditions (Cont'd)

2.1.3.8 To the extent that either the Company or any other telephone company exercises control over available cable pairs, conduit, duct space, raceways, or other facilities needed by the other to reach a person or entity, the party exercising such control shall make them available to the other on terms equivalent to those under which the Company makes similar facilities under its control available to its customers. At the reasonable request of eitherparty, the Company and the other telephone company shall join the attempt to obtain from the owner of the property access for the other party to serve a person or entity.

## 2.1.4 Liabilily of the Company

2.1.4.1 The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agerts.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

## 2. REGULATIONS (cont'd)

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- 2.1.4.2 The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.
- 2.1.4.3 The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- 2.1.4.4 The Company shall not be liablefor any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

## 2. REGULATIONS (cont'd)

- 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)
  - 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)
    - The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty 2.1.4.5 with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4.5as a condition precedent to such installations.
- 2.1.4.6 The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to

  Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services
  or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal
  thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by
  negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or
  employees.
  - 2.1.4.7 The Company shall be indemnified, defended and harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

### 2. REGULATIONS (cont'd)

2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- 2.1.4.8 The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- 2.1.4.9 THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- 2.1.4.10 With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
- 2.1.4.11 The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

2.1.5 Notification of Service-Affecting Activities

The Company will provide the Customer reasonable notification of service affecting activities that may occur in normal operation of its business. Such activities may include, but are not limited to, equipment or facilities additions, removals or rearrangements and routine preventative maintenance. Generally, such activities are not specific to an individual Customer but affect many Customers' services. No specific advance notification period is applicable to all service activities. The Company will work cooperatively with the Customer to determine the reasonable notification requirements. With some emergency or unplanned service affecting conditions, such as an outage resulting from cable damage, notification to the Customer may not be possible.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

## 2. REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

# 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

#### 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- 1. any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentionalact or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- 2. any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

## 2. REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements - (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.4 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

#### 2.5.5 Deposits

- 2.5.5.1 To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - (a) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - (b) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

## 2. <u>REGULATIONS</u> (Cont'd)

#### 2.5 Payment Arrangements - (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.5 Deposits (Cont'd)

- 2.5.5.2 A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- 2.5.5.3 When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- 2.5.5.4 Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate of 6% pursuant to KRS 278.460.

#### 2.5.6 Disconnection of Service

- 2.5.6.1 Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 24 hours prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- 2.5.6.2 Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

Issued By:

## REGULATIONS AND SCHEDULE OF INTRASTATE CHARGES

#### 2. REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

- 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)
  - 2.5.6 Disconnection of Service (Cont'd)
    - 2.5.6.3 Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
    - 2.5.6.4 Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
    - 2.5.6.5 In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

Issued By:
James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President
Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, Maryland 21046

## REGULATIONS AND SCHEDULE OF INTRASTATE CHARGES

## 2.REGULATIONS (Cont'd)

- 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)
  - 2.5.6 Disconnection of Service (Cont'd)
    - 2.5.6 6 Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.6.1 or 2.5.6.2, the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).
    - 2.5.6.7 Upon the use of service or facilities for calls, anonymous or otherwise, in a manner reasonably to be expected to frighten, abuse, torment, or harass another, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
    - 2.5.6.8 The Company reserves the right to cancel any contract for service with, and to discontinue service to, any person who uses or permits the use of obscene, profane or grossly abusive language over, or by means of, the Company's facilities, and who, after reasonable notice, fails, neglects or refuses to cease and refrain from such practice or to prevent the same, and to remove its property from the premises of such person.
- 2.5.7 Cancellation of Application for Service
  - 2.5.7.1 Applications for service cannot be canceled without the Company's agreement. Where the Company permits a Customer to cancel an application for service prior to the start of service or prior to any special construction, no charges will be imposed except for those specified below.
  - 2.5.7.2 Where, prior to cancellation by the Customer, the Company incurs any expenses in installing the service or in preparing to install the service that it otherwise would not have incurred, a charge equal to the costs incurred by the Company, less net salvage, shall apply, but in no case shall this charge exceed the sum of the charge for the minimum period of services ordered, including installation charges, and all charges others levy against the Company that would have been chargeable to the Customer had service commenced (all discounted to present value at six percent).

Issued: September 5, 2003

Effective Date: October 6, 2003

Issued By:

James C. Falvey, Sr Vice President Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, Maryland 21046

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

## **REGULATIONS**

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

## REGULATIONS

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### **REGULATIONS**

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
- G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
- H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- I) THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
- K) The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002

Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

## REGULATIONS

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

## 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- A) any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- B) any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### .REGULATIONS

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd

į

## 2.5.2 Billing and Collection of Charges (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.2.1 Contested Charges (Cont'd)

2) Second, if there is still a disagreement about the disputed amount after investigation and review by the Company, the Customer may file an appropriate complaint with the Louisiana Public Service Commission. The address of the Commission is:

One American Place, Suite 1630 Post Office Box 91154 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70821

Billing inquiries may be directed to the Company at 1-708-573-1800)

## 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

## **REGULATIONS**

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - 1) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Louisiana Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002

Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

## **REGULATIONS**

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service

- A) Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 5 days prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.

Issue Date: June 14, 2002 Effective Date: February 12, 2003

Issued By: James C. Falvey, Sr. Vice President Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, Maryland 21046

#### REGULATIONS

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service (Cont'd)

- D) Upon the Customer's insolvency, assignment for the benefit of creditors, filing for bankruptcy or reorganization, or failing to discharge an involuntary petition within the time permitted by law, the Company may immediately discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- E) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- F) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.
- G) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
- G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications
- H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.
- The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

Issued: August 21, 2003

James C. Falvey

Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

## 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any A) third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any B) copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issued: August 21, 2003 James C. Falvey Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issued: August 21, 2003
James C. Falvey
Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC
7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200
Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

4

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Mississippi Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

Issued: August 21, 2003

James C. Falvey

Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046

XSP 000066

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

### 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service

- A) Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 24 hours prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.

Issued: August 21, 2003
James C. Falvey
Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 <u>Discontinuance of Service</u> (Cont'd)

- D) Upon the Customer's insolvency, assignment for the benefit of creditors, filing for bankruptcy or reorganization, or failing to discharge an involuntary petition within the time permitted by law, the Company may immediately discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- E) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- F) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.
- G) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u>

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 <u>Liability of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

- F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
- G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
- H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

## 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any A) third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any B) copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

Issued: September 25, 2002 James C. Falvey Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

iames.falvey@xspediusmc.com

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.3 Advance Payments

The Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

Issued: September 25, 2002

James C. Falvey

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs

Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, MD 21046

(301) 361 4200

james.falvey@xspediusmc.com

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - 1) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- C) When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the South Carolina Public Service Commission without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service

- A) Upon nonpayment of any amounts owing to the Company by a business Customer, the Company may, by giving 5 days prior written notice to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- B) Upon violation of any of the other material terms or conditions for furnishing service the Company may, by giving 30 days' prior notice in writing to the Customer, discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability if such violation continues during that period.
- C) Upon condemnation of any material portion of the facilities used by the Company to provide service to a Customer or if a casualty renders all or any material portion of such facilities inoperable beyond feasible repair, the Company, by notice to the Customer, may discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.5 Discontinuance of Service (Cont'd)

- D) Upon the Customer's insolvency, assignment for the benefit of creditors, filing for bankruptcy or reorganization, or failing to discharge an involuntary petition within the time permitted by law, the Company may immediately discontinue or suspend service without incurring any liability.
- E) Upon any governmental prohibition or required alteration of the services to be provided or any violation of an applicable law or regulation, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- F) In the event of fraudulent use of the Company's network, the Company will discontinue service without notice and/or seek legal recourse to recover all costs involved in enforcement of this provision.
- G) Upon the Company's discontinuance of service to the Customer under Section 2.5.5(A) or 2.5.5(B), the Company, in addition to all other remedies that may be available to the Company at law or in equity or under any other provision of this tariff, may declare all future monthly and other charges that would have been payable by the Customer during the remainder of the term for which such services would have otherwise been provided to the Customer to be immediately due and payable (discounted to present value at six percent).
- H) Upon the use of service or facilities for calls, anonymous or otherwise, in a manner reasonably to be expected to frighten, abuse, torment, or harass another, the Company may immediately discontinue service without incurring any liability.
- The Company reserves the right to cancel any contract for service with, and to discontinue service to, any person who uses or permits the use of obscene, profane or grossly abusive language over, or by means of, the Company's facilities, and who, after reasonable notice, fails, neglects or refuses to cease and refrain from such practice or to prevent the same, and to remove its property from the premises of such person.

Issued: September 25, 2002

James C. Falvey

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC

7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200

Columbia, MD 21046

(301) 361 4200 james.falvey@xspediusmc.com

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company

- A) The liability of the Company for damages arising out of the furnishing of its Services, including but not limited to mistakes, omissions, interruptions, delays, or errors, or other defects, representations, or use of these services or arising out of the failure to furnish the service, whether caused by acts or omission, shall be limited to the extension of allowances for interruption as set forth in 2.6. The extension of such allowances for interruption shall be the sole remedy of the Customer and the sole liability of the Company. The Company will not be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential, exemplary or punitive damages to Customer as a result of any Company service, equipment or facilities, or the acts or omissions or negligence of the Company's employees or agents.
- B) The Company shall not be liable for any delay or failure of performance or equipment due to causes beyond its control, including but not limited to: acts of God, fire, flood, explosion or other catastrophes; any law, order, regulation, direction, action, or request of the United States Government, or of any other government, including state and local governments having or claiming jurisdiction over the Company, or of any department, agency, commission, bureau, corporation, or other instrumentality of any one or more of these federal, state, or local governments, or of any civil or military authority; national emergencies; insurrections; riots; wars; unavailability of rights-of-way or materials; or strikes, lock-outs, work stoppages, or other labor difficulties.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- C) The Company shall not be liable for any act or omission of any entity furnishing to the Company or to the Company's Customers facilities or equipment used for or with the services the Company offers.
- D) The Company shall not be liable for any damages or losses due to the fault or negligence of the Customer or due to the failure or malfunction of Customer-provided equipment or facilities.
- E) The Company does not guarantee nor make any warranty with respect to installations it provides for use in an explosive atmosphere. The Customer indemnities and holds the Company harmless from any and all loss, claims, demands, suits, or other action, or any liability whatsoever, whether suffered, made, instituted, or asserted by any other party or person(s), and for any loss, damage, or destruction of any property, whether owned by the Customer or others, caused or claimed to have been caused directly or indirectly by the installation, operation, failure to operate, maintenance, removal presence, condition, location, or use of any installation so provided. The Company reserves the right to require each Customer to sign an agreement acknowledging acceptance of the provisions of this section 2.1.4(E) as a condition precedent to such installations.

## 2.1 <u>Undertaking of the Company</u> (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

- F) The Company is not liable for any defacement of or damage to Customer premises resulting from the furnishing of services or equipment on such premises or the installation or removal thereof, unless such defacement or damage is caused by negligence or willful misconduct of the Company's agents or employees.
- G) The Company shall be indemnified, defended and held harmless by the Customer against any claim, loss or damage arising from Customer's use of services, involving claims for libel, slander, invasion of privacy, or infringement of copyright arising from the Customer's own communications.
- H) The entire liability for any claim, loss, damage or expense from any cause whatsoever shall in no event exceed sums actually paid the Company by the Customer for the specific services giving rise to the claim. No action or proceeding against the Company shall be commenced more than one year after the service is rendered.
- I) THE COMPANY MAKES NO WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED EITHER IN FACT OR BY OPERATION OF LAW, STATUTORY OR OTHERWISE, INCLUDING WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE, EXCEPT THOSE EXPRESSLY SET FORTH HEREIN.
- J) With respect to directory listings, the Company's liability shall be limited to the provisions of Section 6.1.1, and the further limitations provided in this Section.

## 2.1 Undertaking of the Company (Cont'd)

## 2.1.4 Liability of the Company (Cont'd)

K) The Company's Year 2000 readiness depends on the readiness of several third party vendors whose Year 2000 readiness the Company cannot control. Due to the interdependence among telecommunications providers and the interrelationship with non-Company processes, equipment and systems, the Company is not responsible for Year 2000 failures caused by circumstances beyond its control, including, but not limited to failures caused by: 1) the Customer; 2) other telecommunications provider; 3) customer premises equipment; or 4) suppliers of hardware, software, data, and other equipment and supplies.

#### 2.1.5 Notification of Service-Affecting Activities

The Company will provide the Customer reasonable notification of service affecting activities that may occur in normal operation of its business. Such activities may include, but are not limited to, equipment or facilities additions, removals or rearrangements and routine preventative maintenance. Generally, such activities are not specific to an individual Customer but affect many Customers' services. No specific advance notification period is applicable to all service activities. The Company will work cooperatively with the Customer to determine the reasonable notification requirements. With some emergency or unplanned service-affecting conditions, such as an outage resulting from cable damage, notification to the Customer may not be possible.

## 2.3 Obligations of the Customer (Cont'd)

#### 2.3.2 Claims

With respect to any service or facility provided by the Company, Customers shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Company from and against all claims, actions, damages, liabilities, costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees for:

- A) any loss, destruction or damage to the property of the Company or any third party, or death or injury to persons, including, but not limited to, employees or invitees of either party, to the extent caused by or resulting from the negligent or intentional act or omission of the Customer, its employees, agents, representatives or invitees; or
- B) any claim, loss, damage, expense or liability for infringement of any copyright, patent, trade secret, or any proprietary or intellectual property right of any third party, arising from any act or omission by the Customer, including, without limitation, use of the Company's services and facilities in a manner not contemplated by the agreement between the Customer and the Company.

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

#### 2.5.3 Advance Payments

To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make an advance payment before services and facilities are furnished. The advance payment will not exceed an amount equal to the non-recurring charge(s) and one month's charges for the service or facility. In addition, where special construction is involved, the advance payment may also include an amount equal to the estimated non-recurring charges for the special construction and recurring charges (if any) for a period to be set between the Company and the Customer. The advance payment will be credited to the Customer's initial bill. An advance payment may be required in addition to a deposit.

#### Payment Arrangements (Cont'd) 2.5

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a A) deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum 1) payment period of one month; or
  - the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for 2) a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment. B)
- When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, C) will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of D) the Tennessee Regulatory Authority without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

Issued: November 17, 2003

James C. Falvey

Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046

## 2.5 Payment Arrangements (Cont'd)

## 2.5.4 Deposits

- A) To safeguard its interests, the Company may require a Customer to make a deposit to be held as a guarantee for the payment of charges. A deposit does not relieve the Customer of the responsibility for the prompt payment of bills on presentation. The deposit will not exceed an amount equal to:
  - 1) two month's charges for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of one month; or
  - 2) the charges that would apply for the minimum payment period for a service or facility that has a minimum payment period of more than one month; except that the deposit may include an additional amount in the event that a termination charge is applicable.
- B) A deposit may be required in addition to an advance payment.
- C) When a service or facility is discontinued, the amount of a deposit, if any, will be applied to the Customer's account and any credit balance remaining will be refunded. Before the service or facility is discontinued, the Company may, at its option, return the deposit or credit it to the Customer's account.
- D) Deposits held will accrue interest at a rate in accordance with the rules of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority without deductions for any taxes on such deposits. Interest will not accrue on any deposit after the date on which reasonable effort has been made to return it to the Customer.

Issued: November 17, 2003

James C. Falvey

Sr. Vice President, Regulatory Affairs Xspedius Management Co., LLC 7125 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 200 Columbia, MD 21046



#### XSPEDIUS COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES AGREEMENT General Terms and Condition

This Services Agreement ("Agreement") is herecen Vepolina Communicament, LLC on behalf of at a uplicable for every providing may be desired ability of the agreement of Agreement of the Agreement of Agreeme

Isolated. If the parties are unable to resolve fisues related to a Dispute within thirty (0) days after a party's request a mide for approximated or designated representatives or an approximate to the Applicable Law.

11. LISE OF SERVICES. Appellar's Acquitable the Policy ("ALP") governs Customer's Appellar's ALP" powers Customer's Appellar's ALP" powers the current copy of Xipedius' AlP by logging on to the velsite at https://www.appelluccom. The AUI's ancorporated one be "greatered by reference. Xipedius' AUP of plantages and models from a meeting the ADP. Xipedius and many the modified from ance to have whom power nones to Customer's As my mee, Customer any also send Xipedius a National Xipedius and a resonable apportunity of the AUP. Xipedius and many assets all lieged valuations of the AUP by Customer or any and the Auptomatic and many the AUP. Appellar and the AUP. Xipedius and appellar and the AUP. Appellar and app

Edit Termination Charges will not be attracted. However, Customer will be required to purchase the symptote to a term commitment and activation of the Term Commitment and the Edition of the Term Commitment and the Term Commitmen

2. TRANSFER AND ASSIGNATION.

Outstorner may not steel, assign or transfer any of Customer's approach in Customer'

| ×                |  |
|------------------|--|
| Customer Initial |  |

Service Agreement v 2004 8 1 04



#### Terms and Conditions Internet ConneXions/ Data ConneXions/ Metro ConneXions

In addition to the General Terms and Conditions set forth on the back of the Service Agreement by and between Customer and Xspecials, Customer hereby agrees and understands that the following terms and conditions shall also apply when Customer uses any of Xspecial Internet Services, secluding Internet Connections, Data Cornections, and Metro Connections sustes of products

Xpedius and provided provided

The descarcation point for these Services is the established man demorcation point for the telecom charge which Customer must pay in advance.

Circiment semanded information. In order to appropriately actives and maintain Customer's service, Customer must provide Xspedius with the following information in a turnely manner: Customer on or off-site contacts (including escalation lists and off-hours contacts), and all lechrosed information reasonably repaired by Xspedius to perform Xspedius' responsibilities.

Scientiff, Customer must induce any and all provisions for customer's listenet or data access service.

Scientiff, Customer must induce any and all provisions for customer in the customer in the LNs side of the responsibilities. LNs sides of the order ("LNN Emronment"). If Xspedius isolates a problem beyond the demanation point, as defined above, Customer shall Measurement in Monitoring. Customer is responsible for fault resolution and completion. Customer is completed for service outsigned or degradations resolution from any actions beyond the demanation promet. If Customer shall provide inhall be responsible for fault resolution and completion. Customer is responsible for service outsigned or degradations resolutions and maintenance of all CPE and CEQ after initial initialization. Specialis shall provide in Customer is service or configuration monitoring, and maintenance of all CPE and CEQ after initial initialization. Specialis shall remain responsible for origination monitoring, and maintenance of all CPE or CEQ to Customer such as customer such in Customer such cases considerably under the definition of the transfer of the CPE or CEQ to Customer.

Customer special to hold Xspedius harmless from any and all descripts, claims or inhall previous institution of the transfer of the CPE or CEQ to Customer. It is required that documented justification by submitted to Xspedius prove to the allocation of address specs. The number of IP addresses allocated will be based to

| Network Performance Measurements<br>Xspedius endervers to meintain the follow | ing potwork service consuments   | Frame  | ATM   |
|---|--|--|---|
|   | bierod   | 99 999%  | 99 999%   |
|   | 99 999%  | 100 milisoconda  | 100 multisoconds  |
| Availability  Modian round-trip latency                                       | 60 millisoconds  |  |   |
| Moduli (dulo-trp latere)  |  |  | A SPIREMENT AND A SPIRE OF THE |
| packet loss   | 0.5%   |  | 99 9% SCR<br>99% non-SCR*   |
|   |  | 99% non-CIR*   | 99% non-3CR   |
| Delivery Rate   | The state of the s | UNITED TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF | A THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY CONTRACT AND SERVICES AND  |

Internet Availability is defined as the statisty for Xspedius' momentum to exchange unifie from their network, across Xspedius network to Xspedius Internet poering points. Frame and ATM availability only covers interLate Services and Internet poering points. Frame and ATM availability only covers interLate Services and Internet poering points. Frame and ATM availability only covers interLate Services and Managed Frames and PVCs. Internet, Frame and ATM availability or success through the CPC is not guaranteed unless Xspedius provides and provide 自己是在中国的社会,但是是一个人的,

Outage Credits
Customer will be alignible to receive a credit for any outages that Customer experiences due to unavailability of the Service or when the Customer experiences more than 20% packet loss within Xipedius' network. In order to receive an outage customer will be alignible for served in Customer will be dispited for a credit equal recolds Customer must open a trouble ticket. Customer will be dispited for a credit equal recolds customer must open a trouble ticket with Customer care. Credit will be given based upon the outage time recorded in the applicable trouble trouble. If the outage is for greater than 40 menutes, but learn that 4 hours, Customer will be cligable for a credit equal record to the visit and to the outage is greater than 20 menutes, but learn that 4 hours, Customer mostly in the credit expectation. The instrument credit available to 1) planned, she believed for the credit expectation. The menutes are entire that 4 hours, Customer will not receive outage credit sufficiently be 10 planned as the contract of the monthly free time to the visit of the monthly free time trouble received trouble to 1) planned expects and the contract of the service sufficient of the Service Agreement) or 7) any uternot excess or related problems beyond the demandation pount of Xapodius' Internet porning points. This shall be Customer of Force Negarity and Axpodius' sole obligation with regard to any outage unless otherwise expressly model in the Agreement.

Requiring a facility. To receive a credit, Customer must consex Xapodius. Customer Customer Customer Care representative with the following information.

Customer's company name;
 Sufficient information which will allow Xapodius to content Customer;
 Sufficient information which will allow Xapodius to content Customer;
 The Capodius trouble toller numbers Customer has been given by Xapodius Customer Cave that was associated with the outage.

Upon Xspedius' sole determination that an outage took place, Customer will receive the appropriate Outage Credit.

XSP 000086

| X                |  | <br> |
|------------------|--|------|
| Customer Initial |  |      |

Joint Petitioners
North Carolina Utilities Commission
Docket Nos. P-772, Sub 8 et al.
BellSouth's 1<sup>st</sup> Set of Interrogatories
December 7, 2004

ATTACHMENTS TO REQUEST NO. 47

# BEFORE THE GEORGIA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

| In the Matter of:                  | ) |                    |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. | ) | Docket No. 16772-U |
| Petition for Declaratory Ruling    | ) |                    |
| Regarding Transit Traffic          | ) |                    |

## MEMORANDUM OR UNDERSTANDING

In an effort to resolve the issues in this proceeding, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth") and Georgia Telephone Association ("GTA") and its member companies ("Parties") enter into this Memorandum of Understanding. It is understood and agreed between the Parties that this Memorandum of Understanding is a compromise of a dispute, and the execution of this Memorandum of Understanding is not to be construed as an admission of liability or an acknowledgement of the appropriateness of any compensation arrangement on the part of any of the Parties, which is expressly denied. Nothing in this Memorandum of Understanding shall preclude any Party from participating in any Georgia Public Service Commission proceeding or proceeding before the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") relating to any issue, including those related to transit traffic and interconnection with rural carriers. The Parties enter into Memorandum of Understanding without waiving or prejudicing any positions they have taken previously, or may take in the future, in any judicial, legislative, regulatory, or other public forum addressing any matters, including matters specifically related to, or other types of arrangements prescribed in this Memorandum of Understanding.

- 1. For traffic originated by ICOs and facility-based CLECs, BellSouth will provide to the terminating carrier industry standard call detail records (EM1 Category 11) identifying the originating carrier and the MOUs of carrier traffic for each such provider. Records will be generated and delivered daily, weekly, or monthly using the information provided by the originating carrier. CIC and/or OCN information, billing contact name and billing address will be provided for each CLEC and ICO to the ICOs and CLECs initially, and whenever new information warrants it.
- 2. BellSouth will provide assistance to the ICOs and CLECs in resolving billing disputes that may arise. This assistance will be provided at no cost to the parties. Such assistance will take the following forms:

First, BellSouth will provide timely billing assistance to the parties. This assistance shall include (1) pulling EM1 records to verify the correct CIC codes, trunk groups, and OCN; (2) checking the LNP database to verify that the Billing Telephone Number ("BTN") has not been ported to another carrier, and (3) conducting queries and otherwise conducting test calls to ascertain jurisdictional status.

Second, where technically feasible, BellSouth will perform traffic studies for the CLECs and ICOs using the Agilent system under the Following circumstances. BellSouth will conduct an initial traffic study for each CLEC or ICO using the Agilent system to establish a baseline of the jurisdictional nature of traffic terminating to the CLEC or ICO. After the initial study, BellSouth will conduct, upon request of a CLEC or ICO, an annual traffic study using the Agilent system of traffic terminating to that CLEC or ICO for a specific period in time. Additionally, BellSouth will conduct Agilent studies upon request of a CLEC or ICO due to material changes in volume or documented billing disputes. BellSouth will only conduct such studies and provide the results to the CLEC or ICO, who will be responsible for any substantive or quantitative analysis. In the event the traffic study is conducted by a CLEC or ICO third-party vendor, BellSouth will cooperate in this study to the extent necessary.

- 3. BellSouth will cease payment of termination charges to the ICOs associated with CLEC-originated traffic, conditioned upon BellSouth's providing to the ICOs valid billing data as specified herein. BellSouth will work with the ICOs to establish appropriate audit procedures and to execute necessary contract amendments to implement these billing arrangements.
  - 4. Local traffic will not be subject to access charges.
- 5. For purposes of this docket, the definition of "local transit traffic" is defined by the ICO's local tariff limited to the basic service area, mandatory EAS and Commission mandated countywide calling.
- 6. For indirect transit arrangements, and because the parties expect the volume of traffic between the CLECs and the ICOs to be relatively small and sufficiently in balance, there will be no compensation between the *originating and' terminating* parties for the termination of local traffic. The transiting carrier will still be compensated for the transit function. A CLEC may continue to utilize the indirect transit arrangement until such time as the volume of traffic exceeds a monthly aggregate of 500,000 MOUs for a consecutive three (3) month period with an ICO. At such time as the volume exceeds this level, either party (the ICO or the CLEC) may request a conversion to direct interconnection.
- 7. Any intraLATA toll traffic as defined by reference to the ICO's LCA will be delivered to the end user's intraLATA toll provider, and the originating and terminating carriers will bill originating and terminating access, as appropriate, to that toll (not transit) provider. Any toll traffic will adhere to applicable industry standards and terms contained in interconnection agreements for routing.
- 8. The originating party shall be responsible for payment of transit fees, as applicable, to the point where the originating party's network connects with the transit providers' network. The rate for transit, if applicable, shall be established based upon the interconnection agreement between the parties or as ordered by the Georgia Public Service Commission in the context of a generic docket.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

GEORGIA TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION